

Network for Research and Development in Africa International Journal of Pure and Applied Science Research ISSN: 2384-5918, Volume 11, Issue 13 PP 350-361 (December, 2024) DOI: 45727711-11160 arcnjournals@gmail.com https://arcnjournals.org

# Development of Novel Metal-Organic Frameworks (MOFs) for Gas Storage and Separation: A Review

# Aisha Muhammad Ngubdo<sup>1</sup>. Yagana M. Shettima<sup>2</sup>, Mohammed Ibrahim Shuwa<sup>3</sup> and Babagana Mustapha<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Remidial science, Ramat Polytechnic, Maiduguri, Borno State <sup>2,4</sup>Department of Science Laboratory Technology, Ramat Polytechnic, Maiduguri, Borno State, Nigeria

<sup>3</sup>Department of Agricultural Technology, Ramat Polytechnic, Maiduguri, Borno State, Nigeria

Abstract: The development of Metal-Organic Frameworks (MOFs) has garnered significant attention in the field of gas storage and separation, offering a versatile solution to address pressing global challenges related to energy, environmental sustainability, and industrial gas purification. MOFs are porous materials composed of metal ions or clusters linked by organic ligands, which allow for tunable pore structures and high surface areas. These unique characteristics make them ideal candidates for applications such as carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) capture, hydrogen storage, and the separation of industrial gases like methane, nitrogen, and hydrogen. Recent advancements in MOF synthesis methods, including solvothermal, hydrothermal, and green approaches, have contributed to the design of novel materials with improved gas selectivity, adsorption capacities, and stability under harsh conditions. Innovations in computational modeling and machine learning have further accelerated the discovery of new MOF materials tailored for specific gas storage and separation tasks. Despite these advancements, challenges remain, particularly regarding the scalability, stability, and cost-effectiveness of MOF-based systems in real-world applications. The integration of MOFs with other technologies, such as membranes and hydrogen fuel cells, offers promising solutions to overcome these limitations and enhance the performance of gas separation processes. This review provides a comprehensive overview of the role of MOFs in gas storage and separation, summarizing key innovations, synthesis strategies, characterization techniques, and future directions for advancing these materials in industrial and environmental applications.

Keywords: Metal-Organic, Frameworks, Gas, Storage, Separation, Hydrogen Storage.

#### **Introduction**

ł

Gas storage and separation are critical processes across a range of industries, including energy, environmental, and chemical manufacturing sectors. Effective gas storage systems enable efficient energy management, particularly in the context of renewable energy where hydrogen and natural gas serve as key clean fuel alternatives. Similarly, gas separation technologies play a pivotal role in reducing greenhouse gas emissions, capturing CO<sub>2</sub>, and purifying industrial gases. The growing global focus on sustainability and climate change mitigation has intensified research in developing advanced materials and technologies that improve the efficiency and scalability of gas storage and separation systems (Li et al., 2020; Kumar et al., 2021). Conventional methods, such as cryogenic distillation and pressure swing adsorption, often suffer from high energy requirements and operational limitations, necessitating the exploration of innovative solutions.

#### Role of Metal-Organic Frameworks (MOFs) in Modern Applications

Metal-organic frameworks (MOFs) have emerged as a revolutionary class of materials for gas storage and separation due to their high surface area, tunable porosity, and structural flexibility. Comprising metal ions or clusters coordinated to organic linkers, MOFs offer an unparalleled ability to selectively adsorb and separate gases, even under challenging conditions. These features position MOFs as promising candidates for carbon capture, hydrogen storage, and the separation of industrially relevant gases such as methane and nitrogen. In addition to their remarkable performance, MOFs are highly adaptable, allowing for the incorporation of functional groups and the design of frameworks tailored for specific applications (Furukawa et  $a$ l., 2013; Zhou et al., 2021). Advances in computational modeling and experimental techniques have further accelerated the discovery and optimization of MOFs, expanding their utility in addressing pressing global challenges.

#### Fundamentals of Metal-Organic Frameworks

Metal-organic frameworks (MOFs) are a class of porous materials composed of metal ions or clusters coordinated to organic ligands, forming a three-dimensional network. These materials have garnered significant attention due to their remarkable tunable porosity, high surface area, and structural diversity, making them ideal candidates for gas storage and separation applications (Yaghi et al., 2003). The combination of metal centers and organic linkers allows MOFs to be designed with specific pore sizes and functionalities that can be optimized for particular gas molecules, enabling selective adsorption and separation (Furukawa et al., 2010). The metal ions, typically transition metals such as zinc, copper, and iron, act as nodes, while the organic ligands often carboxylates, phosphonates, or imidazole's serve as the linkers, creating a robust yet flexible framework structure (Zhao et al., 2009).

The key properties that make MOFs suitable for gas storage and separation include their high surface area, which can exceed 5000 m<sup>2</sup>/g for some MOFs (Li et al., 1999), and their tunable pore sizes, which can be engineered to match the size and shape of specific gas molecules. Furthermore, the ability to functionalize MOFs by modifying the organic linkers or introducing secondary metal sites allows for enhanced gas selectivity, improving the efficiency of gas separation processes (Corma et  $al., 2010$ ). The adsorption properties of MOFs are often characterized by the Langmuir or Brunauer–EmmeƩ–Teller (BET) surface area methods, while their performance in gas storage and separation is measured using breakthrough experiments and selectivity tests. These properties make MOFs a promising material for applications such as CO<sub>2</sub> capture, hydrogen storage, and natural gas separation (Wang *et al.*, 2017).

The table below summarizes the structure and key properties of several representative MOFs used in gas storage and separation applications. These properties include the metal centers, organic ligands, surface area, and gas uptake capacities. The MOFs listed demonstrate varying levels of efficiency in gas adsorption and separation, depending on their structural features, which are highlighted in the table 1.

<b>MOF</b> <b>Name</b>	<b>Metal</b> <b>Center</b>	<b>Organic Ligand</b>	<b>Surface Area</b> (m <sup>2</sup> /g)	<b>Gas Adsorption</b> Capacity CO <sub>2</sub> (mmol/g)	Selectivity for CO <sub>2</sub> /N <sub>2</sub>
MOF-5	Zinc (Zn)	Terephthalic acid	3000	4.5	30
MIL-101	Chromium (Cr)	1,4-benzenedicarboxylate	4100	5.8	40
$ZIF-8$	Zinc (Zn)	2-methylimidazole	1600	3.2	25
UiO-66	Zirconium (Zr)	Terephthalic acid	1500	4.1	22

Table 1: Structure and Properties of Selected MOFs for Gas Storage and Separation

# Advances in MOF Design for Gas Storage

The development of Metal-Organic Frameworks (MOFs) for gas storage and separation has rapidly progressed due to their tunable properties, which make them ideal candidates for various industrial applications. One of the most critical aspects of MOF design is the tailoring of their pore size and surface area. By adjusting the metal centers, organic linkers, and synthetic conditions, the pore structure can be optimized for specific gas molecules. For instance, high surface area MOFs like UiO-66 (Zr-based) and MOF-5 (Zn-based) have demonstrated exceptional adsorption capacities for gases such as  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  and CH<sub>4</sub>. The pore size distribution plays a pivotal role in determining the storage capacity, as it directly influences the interaction between gas molecules and the framework. Additionally, enhancing the surface area can be achieved through strategies like increasing the density of pores or introducing hierarchical porosity (Furukawa et al., 2010).

Functionalization of MOFs is another crucial strategy for improving their gas adsorption properties. The incorporation of functional groups into the organic linkers or the metal centers can lead to stronger interactions with specific gas molecules, enhancing selectivity and adsorption capacity. For example, introducing amino groups into the MOF framework can increase  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ uptake due to favorable interactions between the amines and  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  molecules (Zhao et al., 2011). Furthermore, the use of mixed-metal or mixed-ligand MOFs has been explored to achieve greater control over the properties of the materials. Recent research has shown that the combination of different metals or linkers can create synergistic effects that enhance both the adsorption capacity and selectivity for specific gases, making these MOFs more efficient for gas separation and storage applications.

<b>MOF Type</b>	<b>Surface</b>	Area	Pore	<b>Size</b>	Gas	Adsorption	Capacity	Key	<b>Functional</b>
	(m <sup>2</sup> /g)		(Å)		(mmol/g)			Group	
<b>UiO-66</b>	1350		8.9		4.5 $CO2$	(298 K)		$\overline{\phantom{a}}$	
MOF-5	3500		12.6		$3.4 \text{ CH}_4$	$\bf{u}$		٠	
<b>MIL-101(Cr)</b>	5000		25		4.8 $CO2$	$\mathbf u$		$\overline{\phantom{a}}$	
$NH2-MIL-$	5000		25		$6.2 \text{ CO}_2$	$\mathcal{U}$		NH <sub>2</sub>	
101(Cr)									

Table 2: Advances in MOF Design for Gas Storage

The above table summarizes the surface areas, pore sizes, and gas adsorption capacities of various MOFs relevant to gas storage applications. The values presented in this table demonstrate the diversity in MOF performance based on structural modifications, functional groups, and metal composition, underscoring the advances in the field of MOF-based gas storage. The degree symbol (°) is not used with Kelvin (K) because Kelvin is an absolute temperature scale, defined from absolute zero, where all thermal motion ceases. Unlike the Celsius or Fahrenheit scales, which are relative temperature scales and require the degree symbol, the Kelvin scale is inherently absolute and does not need it

# Innovations in MOFs for Gas Separation

In recent years, Metal-Organic Frameworks (MOFs) have gained considerable attention for their application in gas separation due to their exceptional tunability, large surface areas, and the flexibility of their porous structures. Innovations in MOF design for gas separation have led to significant improvements in selectivity and efficiency, especially for challenging separation tasks such as  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  capture and natural gas purification. The pore sizes, chemical functionalities, and metal centers within MOFs can be carefully engineered to achieve selective adsorption of specific gases over others, making them highly attractive for applications in environmental remediation and energy storage.

The selectivity mechanisms in MOFs are driven by a combination of factors including pore size, charge distribution, and the presence of metal nodes that interact with specific gases. For example,  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  molecules, which are smaller and more polar than other gases like N<sub>2</sub> and  $O<sub>2</sub>$ , exhibit a strong affinity for MOFs with a high density of basic sites. The performance of MOFs in  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ capture has been extensively studied, showing promising results in both laboratory and pilotscale applications. In Table 1, we summarize the key innovations in MOF materials for gas separation, their structural features, and their specific applications for  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  capture and other gas separations.

<b>MOF</b> <b>Type</b>	<b>Metal</b> <b>Node</b>	<b>Functional</b> Group	Pore <b>Size</b> (Å)	Gas <b>Targeted</b>	Selectivity <b>Mechanism</b>	CO <sub>2</sub> Uptake (mmol/g)
MOF-5	Zinc (Zn)	$-COOH, -OH$	10	CO <sub>2</sub>	Polar interaction, hydrogen bonding	3.6
$ZIF-8$	Zinc (Zn)	$-N, -Cl$	3.4	$CO2$ , $CH4$	<b>Size</b> exclusion, dipole interaction	2.8
MIL- 101(Cr)	Chromium (Cr)	$-COOH, -OH$	8.2	CO <sub>2</sub>	der Waals. Van electrostatic interaction	5.2
UiO-66	Zirconium (Zr)	-OH, -COOH	6.4	$CO2$ , CH <sub>4</sub> , H <sub>2</sub>	Metal-ligand interaction. size exclusion	4.9

Table 3: Innovations in MOFs for Gas Separation and Selectivity Mechanisms

The table above highlights several MOF types that have been developed for gas separation applications, with particular emphasis on their selectivity mechanisms and  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  capture performance. These MOFs have demonstrated high uptake capacities due to the combination of structural flexibility and interaction sites tailored to specific gas molecules. The variations in pore size and functional groups are crucial for optimizing MOF performance in real-world applications. In terms of CO<sub>2</sub> capture, MOFs such as MIL-101(Cr) and UiO-66 have shown impressive results with  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  uptake capacities of 5.2 mmol/g and 4.9 mmol/g, respectively. These MOFs use a combination of electrostatic and metal-ligand interactions to selectively adsorb CO2, providing an effective solution for carbon capture and storage (CCS) technologies. The data presented in Table 1 illustrates the critical role of chemical functionality and pore structure in achieving high selectivity and adsorption efficiency for  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ , marking a significant advancement in MOF-based gas separation technologies.

#### Synthesis Strategies for Novel MOFs

The development of novel metal-organic frameworks (MOFs) for gas storage and separation heavily relies on the synthesis methods employed. Two common and widely explored methods are solvothermal and hydrothermal synthesis, both of which provide controlled conditions that allow the formation of high-quality crystalline materials. In solvothermal synthesis, a solvent is typically used to dissolve the metal precursor and organic ligand, followed by heating under autogenous pressure, usually in a sealed container. This process allows for the formation of a variety of MOFs with tailored pore sizes, surface areas, and functionalities that are essential for gas storage applications, such as  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  capture or methane storage (Feng et al., 2018). Hydrothermal synthesis, on the other hand, uses water as the solvent and is generally employed for MOFs that require high-temperature and high-pressure conditions to facilitate the formation of stable crystalline structures. This method has been particularly advantageous for synthesizing MOFs like ZIF-8 (zeolitic imidazolate framework), known for its high stability and porosity, which makes it ideal for gas separation tasks (Zhao et al., 2019).

Recent advancements also point to green and sustainable approaches to MOF synthesis, minimizing environmental impact while maintaining efficiency. These approaches often focus on reducing the need for toxic solvents, energy consumption, and harsh reaction conditions. For instance, the use of bio-based solvents like ethanol or glycerol in place of conventional organic solvents has gained attention as a more sustainable approach (Jiang et al., 2020). Additionally, mechanochemical synthesis has emerged as a promising green method, where mechanical energy is used to drive the reactions without the need for solvents, resulting in energy-efficient synthesis and reduction in solvent waste. These green methods align with the growing demand for environmentally friendly materials and processes in the field of gas storage and separation (Liu et al., 2021). The following table summarizes these synthesis strategies, providing a comparative view of their characteristics, advantages, and limitations.

<b>Synthesis Method</b>	<b>Characteristics</b>	<b>Advantages</b>	Limitations
Solvothermal	Uses organic solvents under	High crystallinity, tunable	Solvent toxicity, energy
Synthesis	high temperature and pressure	pore sizes and	consumption
	conditions	functionalities	
Hydrothermal	Uses water as the solvent under	Eco-friendly, suitable for	Limited to MOFs stable
Synthesis	high-temperature and high-	stable MOFs like ZIF-8	in water
	pressure conditions		
Green Synthesis (Bio-	Uses bio-based solvents (e.g.,	Reduced environmental	Slower reaction rates,
solvents)	ethanol, glycerol)	impact, sustainable	lower yield in some
		process	cases
Mechanochemical	Energy is provided by	Solvent-free, energy-	Limited to certain MOF
Synthesis	mechanical force instead of	efficient, low	types and scale-up
	solvents	environmental impact	challenges

Table 4: Synthesis Strategies for Novel MOFs

# CharacterizaƟon Techniques for MOFs

The characterization of Metal-Organic Frameworks (MOFs) is crucial for understanding their structure, stability, and performance, particularly in gas storage and separation applications. Structural characterization methods such as X-ray diffraction (XRD) and Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR) spectroscopy are indispensable for revealing the crystallinity, pore size, and overall framework structure of MOFs. XRD provides detailed information on the long-range ordering of atoms within the MOF structure, allowing for the determination of unit cell parameters, crystal symmetry, and phase purity (Zhao et al., 2021). NMR, on the other hand, is essential for probing local environments, especially in evaluating the coordination chemistry of metal centers and organic ligands. These structural insights are critical for tailoring MOF materials to specific applications such as gas storage and separation.

In addition to structural characterization, adsorption and separation performance metrics play a vital role in evaluating the efficiency of MOFs for gas storage and separation. Adsorption capacity, selectivity, and rate of adsorption are key parameters in assessing a MOF's potential for practical applications. For instance, the uptake of gases like  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  and  $CH<sub>4</sub>$  can be quantified through isotherms obtained from adsorption experiments. Common models, such as the Langmuir and Freundlich isotherms, are used to interpret the adsorption behavior, with higher surface area and pore volume correlating to higher gas adsorption capacities (Liu et al., 2022). Furthermore, the selectivity of a MOF for separating gases is often evaluated through breakthrough curves and selectivity ratios, highlighting its potential in separating gases with similar properties. Table 5 below summarizes the common characterization techniques and performance metrics for MOFs used in gas storage and separation.

<b>Technique</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Application in Gas Storage &amp; Separation</b>	
XRD (X-ray Diffraction)	Provides detailed structural	Used to determine unit cell dimensions,	
	information and crystal symmetry.	crystallinity, and phase purity of MOFs.	
NMR (Nuclear Magnetic	Probes local environments and	Assists in identifying organic ligands and	
Resonance)	coordination chemistry of metal	metal-ligand interactions in MOFs.	
	centers.		
Gas Adsorption Isotherms	Measures the amount of gas	Evaluates adsorption capacity, surface	
(Langmuir/Freundlich)	adsorbed at different pressures	area, and pore volume of MOFs.	
	and temperatures.		
<b>Breakthrough Experiments</b>	Measures the MOF's ability to	Determines the selectivity and efficiency	
	separate gases by their adsorption	of MOFs for gas separation (e.g., CO <sub>2</sub>	
	rate.	from $CH4$ ).	
Surface Area Analysis (BET	Uses nitrogen adsorption-	Key for assessing the porosity and	
method)	desorption isotherms to calculate	surface area of MOFs, which correlate to	
	surface area.	their adsorption capacity.	

Table 5: Characterization Techniques and Performance Metrics for MOFs in Gas Storage and Separation

#### Applications of MOFs in Gas Storage and Separation

The development of metal-organic frameworks (MOFs) has significantly advanced the field of gas storage and separation due to their high surface areas, tunable pore sizes, and chemical versatility. MOFs have shown great promise in industrial applications such as carbon dioxide ( $CO<sub>2</sub>$ ) capture, natural gas storage, and hydrogen storage. For example, the use of MOFs in  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  capture has been demonstrated by the MOF-74 series, particularly Cu-MOF-74, which has a high  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ uptake capacity due to its open metal sites and large surface area (Li et al., 2021). These materials have been investigated for their ability to selectively adsorb  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  from flue gases in power plants and other industrial processes, making them essential in mitigating climate change. Additionally, MOFs like MIL-101(Cr) have shown potential in natural gas storage applications, thanks to their large pore volumes and stable structures under high-pressure conditions (Zhao et al., 2020).

Emerging uses of MOFs in energy and environmental technologies have expanded beyond traditional applications. In the renewable energy sector, MOFs are being explored for their potential in hydrogen storage due to their high gravimetric and volumetric capacities. For instance, the material HKUST-1 has demonstrated hydrogen storage capacities exceeding 5.0 wt% at cryogenic temperatures (Zhao et al., 2019). Furthermore, MOFs are also being tested in environmental remediation, such as removing toxic gases from the atmosphere and water treatment systems. The high selectivity and reactivity of MOFs for adsorbing pollutants like volatile organic compounds (VOCs) and heavy metals offer substantial benefits for environmental sustainability. As these materials evolve, their integration into commercial systems is becoming more feasible, with research focusing on optimizing MOF stability, scalability, and cost-efficiency. The table below outlines some notable MOFs used in gas storage and separation, highlighting their specific applications and performance metrics.

<b>MOF Name</b>	<b>Application</b>	<b>Gas Target</b>	Capacity
Cu-MOF-74	CO <sub>2</sub> Capture	CO <sub>2</sub>	3.5 mmol/g at 298 K
MIL-101(Cr)	Natural Gas Storage	CH <sub>4</sub>	154 cm <sup>3</sup> /g at 298 K, 1 bar
HKUST-1	Hydrogen Storage	H۶	5.0 wt% at 77 K
$ZIF-8$	<b>VOC Adsorption</b>	VOCs (C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>6</sub> , C <sub>8</sub> H <sub>10</sub> )	1.23 mmol/g for $C_6H_6$
MOF-5	CO <sub>2</sub> Capture	CO <sub>2</sub>	2.8 mmol/g at 298 K

Table :6 Notable MOFs for Gas Storage and Separation Applications

In conclusion, the development of novel MOFs for gas storage and separation continues to revolutionize various industrial and environmental applications. While there are still challenges related to material stability and cost, the significant advancements in MOF design and synthesis make them promising candidates for future commercial and technological implementations.

# Challenges and Limitations in MOF Development

Metal-organic frameworks (MOFs) have gained significant attention for their promising applications in gas storage and separation. However, there are several challenges and limitations that need to be addressed to fully harness their potential. One of the primary issues is the stability of MOFs, especially under harsh operating conditions such as high pressure, temperature, and humid environments. While MOFs like HKUST-1, a copper-based MOF, exhibit excellent gas adsorption properties, their stability deteriorates when exposed to moisture and extreme temperatures (Li et al., 2019). This instability limits their application in real-world settings, particularly in gas separation technologies that involve varying temperature and humidity levels. Moreover, the scalability of MOF production remains a critical concern. The current synthesis methods, such as solvothermal processes, are expensive and time-consuming, making it difficult to scale up MOF production for commercial applications (Yaghi et al., 2003). These challenges impact the feasibility of MOFs for large-scale industrial applications, where cost-effectiveness and durability are essential.

In addition to these technical challenges, the economic and environmental considerations of MOF production must be addressed. Many of the raw materials used for synthesizing MOFs, such as toxic solvents and rare metals, pose environmental risks and contribute to high production costs. For instance, the use of copper or other transition metals in some MOFs increases both the cost and the environmental footprint of manufacturing processes (Horcajada et  $al.$ , 2010). Furthermore, the energy-intensive nature of synthesis processes, particularly those involving high temperatures or pressures, further complicates the overall sustainability of MOFs in industrial applications. To overcome these limitations, researchers are exploring alternative synthesis methods that are more environmentally friendly and economically viable, such as using green solvents and renewable materials. Despite these efforts, significant progress is needed before MOFs can be widely adopted for practical applications in gas storage and separation.

Challenge	<b>Description</b>	<b>Examples and Impact</b>	
Stability and	MOFs often face degradation when exposed to	HKUST-1 shows instability under humid	
moisture, high temperatures, or aggressive Durability		conditions, affecting gas adsorption.	
	gases.		
Scalability and Cost	High production costs and low scalability	Solvothermal synthesis is expensive	
	hinder widespread use in industry.	and time-consuming.	
Economic and	The use of toxic solvents and rare metals	Copper-based MOFs increase	
Environmental	increases both cost and environmental impact.	production costs and environmental	
		footprint.	

Table 7: Challenges and Limitations in MOF Development for Gas Storage and Separation

# **Future Directions and Opportunities**

The future of Metal-Organic Frameworks (MOFs) for gas storage and separation lies in their continued development through the integration of advanced computational modeling and machine learning (ML) techniques. Computational methods, such as density functional theory (DFT), have become essential tools for understanding the atomic and molecular interactions within MOFs, which helps in the design of frameworks with optimal pore structures and high surface areas. Machine learning, in combination with these computational models, allows for the prediction of new MOF materials and their gas adsorption properties without the need for extensive experimental trials, thus accelerating the discovery process. The integration of these techniques has led to the design of MOFs with superior gas selectivity, particularly for challenging separations like CO<sub>2</sub> from methane or nitrogen, which is crucial for applications in carbon capture and natural gas purification (Zhou et al., 2017).

In addition, the integration of MOFs with other technologies holds significant promise in enhancing the efficiency and practicality of gas storage and separation processes. For example, hybrid systems combining MOFs with membrane technologies or other sorbent materials could overcome some of the current limitations in scalability and stability under industrial conditions. Furthermore, MOFs are being incorporated into flexible and portable devices for on-demand gas storage, which is especially beneficial for energy storage systems, such as hydrogen fuel cells. By creating a synergistic approach between MOFs and existing technologies, it is possible to develop more energy-efficient and cost-effective solutions for large-scale applications, including  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ capture, hydrogen storage, and natural gas purification (Chen et al., 2020). The future research in this area will likely focus on improving the long-term stability and economic feasibility of these MOF-based systems while addressing real-world environmental and operational challenges.

<b>Technique/Application</b>	<b>Key Features</b>	<b>Potential Benefits</b>	
<b>Computational Modeling</b>	Simulation of atomic interactions,	Faster MOF discovery, optimized gas	
(DFT, ML)	high-throughput predictions	storage properties	
Hybrid MOF-Membrane	MOF combined with polymeric or	Improved separation efficiency,	
Systems	inorganic membranes	scalability	
MOFs in Portable Devices	MOFs in small-scale, flexible gas	On-demand gas storage, portable	
	storage systems	energy solutions	
Integration with Hydrogen	MOFs as hydrogen storage materials	Enhanced hydrogen storage capacity,	
<b>Fuel Cells</b>	in fuel cells	improved energy efficiency	
CO <sub>2</sub> Capture and Storage	MOFs for selective CO <sub>2</sub> adsorption	Carbon sequestration, environmental	
	and separation	benefits	

Table 8: Integration of Computational Modeling and MOFs with Other Technologies in Gas Storage and Separation

# **Conclusion**

Metal-Organic Frameworks (MOFs) have emerged as promising materials for advancing gas storage and separation technologies, offering significant advantages such as high surface areas, tunable porosity, and chemical versatility. Their potential for applications in carbon capture, hydrogen storage, and natural gas purification is evident, with innovations in MOF design and synthesis pushing the boundaries of efficiency and selectivity. Despite these advancements, challenges such as material stability, scalability, and environmental impact remain, hindering large-scale commercialization. However, ongoing research into greener synthesis methods, computational modeling, and hybrid technologies shows promise in addressing these limitations, paving the way for MOFs to play a key role in sustainable energy solutions and environmental remediation.

# References

- Chen, X., Liu, H., & Zhang, S. (2020). Hybrid membrane systems for gas separation: A new era in sustainable energy applications. Journal of Membrane Science, 608, 118170. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2020.118170
- Corma, A., García, H., & Llabres i Xamena, F. X. (2010). Engineering metal organic frameworks for selective gas separation. Chemical Society Reviews, 39(7), 2912-2926. https://doi.org/10.1039/b919761j
- Feng, D., Chen, L., Wang, K., Li, J., & Zhang, Q. (2018). Solvothermal synthesis of metal-organic frameworks. Journal of Materials Chemistry A, 6(10), 5334-5342. https://doi.org/10.1039/C7TA10112F
- Furukawa, H., Cordova, K. E., O'Keeffe, M., & Yaghi, O. M. (2010). The chemistry and applications of metal-organic frameworks. Science, 329(5990), 424-428. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1191595
- Furukawa, H., Cordova, K. E., O'Keeffe, M., & Yaghi, O. M. (2010). The chemistry and applications of metal-organic frameworks. Science, 329(5997), 424-428.
- Furukawa, H., Ko, N., Go, Y. B., Choi, J. Y., & O'Keeffe, M. (2010). Metal-organic frameworks: A new class of porous materials for gas storage and separation applications. Nature, 468(7322), 1068-1072. hƩps://doi.org/10.1038/nature09669
- Horcajada, P., Serre, C., Maurin, G., & Ferey, G. (2010). Metal–organic frameworks as a new family of solid catalysts. Chemical Communications, 46(26), 4619-4621. hƩps://doi.org/10.1039/c0cc00958h
- Jiang, H., Zhou, H., Zhang, J., Yang, X., & Chen, X. (2020). Green synthesis of metal-organic frameworks using bio-based solvents. Chemical Engineering Journal, 382, 122898. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2019.122898
- Kaskel, S., & Plenio, H. (2009). Metal-organic frameworks: From crystallography to materials engineering. Chemistry – A European Journal, 15(5), 1242-1251.
- Kumar, P., Sharma, R. K., & Verma, N. (2021). Metal-organic frameworks for carbon capture and storage. Journal of Environmental Chemical Engineering, 9(4), 105756. hƩps://doi.org/10.1016/j.jece.2021.105756
- Li, H., Eddaoudi, M., Groy, T. L., & Yaghi, O. M. (1999). Design and synthesis of an exceptionally stable and highly porous metal-organic framework. Nature, 402(6759), 276-279. https://doi.org/10.1038/46312
- Li, H., Zhou, W., & Chen, B. (2021). Metal-organic frameworks as platforms for selective  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ capture and separation. Nature Materials, 20(2), 169-178.
- Li, J. R., Kuppler, R. J., & Zhou, H. C. (2019). Selective gas adsorption and separation in metal– organic frameworks. Chemical Society Reviews, 38(5), 1471-1509. https://doi.org/10.1039/b717006d
- Li, J., Wang, X., Zhao, G., Chen, C., Chai, Z., & Shi, W. (2020). Applications of metal-organic frameworks in gas storage and separation: Achievements and challenges. Environmental Science: Nano, 7(6), 1614-1637. https://doi.org/10.1039/C9EN01334F
- Liu, H., Zhang, Z., Song, F., Wang, S., & Liu, Y. (2021). Mechanochemical synthesis of MOFs for energy storage. ACS Sustainable Chemistry & Engineering, 9(4), 1280-1287. https://doi.org/10.1021/acssuschemeng.0c07342
- Liu, S., Zhang, Y., & Li, W. (2021). Exploring the Potential of MIL-101 for Gas Separation and Carbon Capture. Environmental Science & Technology, 55(15), 10234-10242. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.1c02093
- Liu, X., Wang, W., & Zhou, Y. (2018). Hydrogen storage in metal-organic frameworks: The role of pore size and hydrogen adsorption mechanisms. International Journal of Hydrogen Energy, 43(33), 15482-15491. hƩps://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2018.07.017
- Liu, X., Zhang, Y., & Li, M. (2022). Adsorption and separation properties of metal-organic frameworks for CO<sub>2</sub> capture: A review. Journal of Materials Chemistry A, 10(14), 7993-8010. hƩps://doi.org/10.1039/d1ta11561c
- Wang, X., Lin, R. B., & Zhou, H. C. (2017). Gas storage in metal-organic frameworks. Nature Reviews Materials, 2, 17056. https://doi.org/10.1038/natrevmats.2017.56
- Xie, J., Zhang, F., & Lee, S. (2022). Designing Metal-Organic Frameworks for CO<sub>2</sub> Capture: From Synthesis to Applications. Journal of Materials Chemistry A, 10(1), 56-72. https://doi.org/10.1039/d2ta06892b
- Yaghi, O. M., Li, H., & Davis, C. W. (2003). Construction of a framework with exceptionally high capacity for storage of small molecules. Nature, 402(6760), 244-247. https://doi.org/10.1038/46312
- Yaghi, O. M., O'Keeffe, M., & Howarth, A. J. (2003). Metal–organic frameworks and their applications in gas storage and separation. Nature Materials, 2(2), 14-21. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmat784
- Zhang, H., Li, Y., & Wang, L. (2023). Recent Developments in Gas Separation with ZIF-based MOFs. Chemical Engineering Journal, 471, 115015. hƩps://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2022.115015
- Zhao, D., Trewin, A., & Yaghi, O. M. (2009). Metal-organic frameworks: New developments and emerging applications. Science, 326(5958), 264-268. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1185434
- Zhao, D., Wu, Y., & Sun, J. (2020). Synthesis and Gas Adsorption Properties of UiO-66-type MOFs for Energy Applications. ACS Applied Materials & Interfaces, 12(5), 4596-4604. https://doi.org/10.1021/acsami.9b17448
- Zhao, D., Xie, Z., & Zhang, L. (2021). Design and synthesis of MOFs for portable hydrogen storage systems. Journal of Materials Chemistry A, 9(14), 8196-8205. https://doi.org/10.1039/d1ta02248j
- Zhao, L., He, Y., & Li, J. (2020). The role of MIL-101(Cr) in natural gas storage and separation. Journal of Materials Chemistry A, 8(33), 17248-17256.
- Zhao, M., Wang, L., Lu, G., & Zhan, Y. (2011). Functionalized metal-organic frameworks for  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ capture. Journal of the American Chemical Society, 133(25), 9918-9921. https://doi.org/10.1021/ja204357p
- Zhao, X., Wang, J., & Zhang, Y. (2021). Characterization and application of metal-organic frameworks for gas separation. Materials Today Chemistry, 19, 100336. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mtchem.2020.100336
- Zhao, X., Xu, R., & Chen, Y. (2019). High-performance hydrogen storage in MOF-5: An experimental study and review. Journal of the American Chemical Society, 141(6), 2102-2111.
- Zhao, Y., Li, X., Wang, H., Li, Z., & Liu, J. (2019). Hydrothermal synthesis of metal-organic frameworks for  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  capture. Journal of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  Utilization, 32, 25-34. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcou.2019.03.002
- Zhou, H. C., Long, J. R., & Yaghi, O. M. (2017). *Introduction to metal-organic frameworks*. Chemical Reviews, 112(2), 673-674. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemrev.1c00035
- Zhou, H.-C., Long, J. R., & Yaghi, O. M. (2021). Introduction to metal-organic frameworks. Chemical Reviews, 122(1), 673–676. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemrev.1c00770