International Journal of Business, Economics and Entrepreneurship Development in Africa

Volume 10, Issues 4&5, PP 56-62, ISSN: 2360-9402, April, 2018 Double Blind Peer Reviewed International Research Journal http://arcnjournals.org journals@arcnjournals.org @Africa Research Corps Network (ARCN)

Effect of Board Size on the Capital Adequacy of Money Deposit Banks

Okoro Blessing Chineme¹ and Prof. Eugene O. Nwadialo²

¹Department of Management, Faculty of Management and Social Sciences, Godfrey Okoye

University Emene, Enugu, Nigeria | E-mail: chinemeokoro2007@gmail.com | Tel:

08067958377

²Department of Accountancy, Faculty of Management and Social Sciences, Godfrey Okoye University Emene, Enugu, Nigeria

Abstract: The aim of this study is to determine the effect of board size on the capital adequacy of money deposit banks. The research design adopted by this study is the quantitative approach. The population of interest for this study comprised the twenty-two deposit money banks listed on the Nigerian Stock Exchange (NSE) as at March (2016) for the period of sixteen years from 2000 to 2016. The study utilized only the secondary source of data. The board size contributes positively to the capital adequacy of the selected money deposit banks in Nigeria. The coefficient of determination which measures the control power of the independent variable over the dependent variable was calculated with the instrument of adjusted R-Squared and it yielded 0.591596. This entails that the variations in capital adequacy of the selected deposit money banks is significantly influenced by the board size. This is in the magnitude of 59.156%. This is significant given that it is beyond average. Based on the findings, the study concludes that on the average, board size have significant positive effect on capital adequacy of money deposit banks. Anchored on this finding, it is recommended that there should be optimal sustenance of the existing board size and should only be altered when objectively necessary.

Key words: board size, capital adequacy, money deposit

Published by Africa Research Corps Network (ARCN)

in Collaboration with International Academic Journal for Global Research (iajgr) Publishing (USA)





Strictly as per the compliance and regulations of:









© 2018. Dr. Vincent A. Onodugo, Okoro, Blessing Chineme and Dr. Ben, Etim Udoh. This is a research/review paper, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial 3.0 Unported License http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/), permitting all non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

1. Introduction

Bank Boards have been placed with many prospects, reflecting the significant role banks have in our financial system. Some of these requirements derive from federal law and/or regulations. Others are included in guidance provided to boards by the bank regulatory agencies. It is important to note, however, that directors sitting on the bank of boards owe a fiduciary duty only to the shareholders of the firm (Jacob, 2011). These bank directors are held responsible for exercising the same duties that are assigned to all corporate boards by state corporate law. The precise role and supremacy of boards in any crisis or normal circumstances remain unidentified; it is obvious that directors are not likely to take into account the interests of other stakeholders, for instance, creditors, and taxpayers when making decisions. Unless the corporation is in distress, it may even be against the law for them to do so (Macey & O'Hara 2003).

Jeon, & Miller (2006), observe that in areas where there is a risk that the board of directors would be dominated by senior management or political influences, board should take action though may not be the bank's best interest, even though it may be in the personal interest of insiders or major shareholders, or likely for divergence of interest in key areas. Examples of such key areas include ensuring the reliability of financial and non-financial reporting, evaluation of related-party dealings, the selection of board members and key executives. Capable independent directors can bring new outlook from other businesses that may advance the strategic trend given to management, such as insight into local circumstances, and can also be important sources of management proficiency.

Njoka (2010), confirms that every board has an adequate need for collective knowledge of each of the types of financial activities the bank intends to pursue. The board should have satisfactory knowledge and experience to enable efficient governance. In some cases, however, bank directors who are not engaged in management functions may not have detailed knowledge of banking, finance, risk management, conformity, skill, ability, and proficiency. Where otherwise qualified individuals lack such knowledge, banks are encouraged to implement programs of ongoing education for board members or take other steps to ensure that such knowledge is available to the board, in order to better enable them to fulfill their responsibilities (Rashid & Lodh 2008). Board effectiveness is particularly important in the Nigerian financial sector because a number of financial failures, frauds, loss of public confidence, and poor rate of returns on investment, corruption, criminality and questionable business practices have adversely affected investors' confidence. The objective of this study is to determine the effect of board size on the capital adequacy of money deposit banks.

2. Methodology

2.1. Research Design

Quantitative approach research design was adpoted for this work. This is because, it gives room for statistical and econometric estimations for the actualization of the research objectives.

2.2. Population and Sample Size of the Study

The population of interest for this study comprised the twenty-two deposit money banks listed on the Nigerian Stock Exchange (NSE) as at March (2016) for the period of sixteen years from 2000 to 2016. Non-probability method in the form of judgmental sampling

technique was employed in selecting nine banks. The nine banks are as follows: First Bank of Nigeria Plc, Diamond Bank Plc, Ecobank, Fidelity Bank, Union Bank, United Bank for Africa, Zenith Bank, Access Bank and Sterling Bank Plc.

2.3. Sources of Data Collection

The study utilized only secondary data because panel data was relied upon in the estimation of the models which involved financial statements of the sample banks. The data were sourced from the annual reports and accounts of the sampled banks for all the relevant years covered by the study.

2.4. Method of Data Analysis

In this research, the method of data analysis is the Linear regression with the application of Ordinary least squares (OLS) technique.

2.5. Model Specification

$$CADE = \beta_0 + \beta_1 BDS + u...(3.1)$$

BDS = Board Size, CADE = Capital Adequacy B's = parameters to be estimated and Us = the stochastic error term.

3. Findings and Results

3.1. Board Size vs Capital Adequacy

Dependent Variable: LOG(CAPADEQUACY)

Method: Least Squares

Date: 01/17/18 Time: 17:20

Sample: 2000 2016

Included observations: 17

Variable	Coefficien t	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
C BOARDSIZE	13.37158 0.001883	0.331567 0.002084	40.32848 3.903657	
R-squared Adjusted R-	0.617629	Mean depe	endent var	13.16292
squared	0.591596	S.D. depen	dent var	0.975446
S.E. of regression	0.981085	Akaike info	criterion	2.909816
Sum squared resid	14.43792	Schwarz cı	iterion	3.007841
Log likelihood	-22.73343	Hannan-Qı	uinn criter.	2.919560
F-statistic	0.816597	Durbin-Wa	itson stat	2.304081
Prob(F-statistic)	0.380461			

Source: E-views OutPut

Model Line: CAPADE = bo + b1BDSIZE

Regression Line: CAPADE = 13.37158 + 0.001883**BDSIZE**

The regression line above clearly shows that the numerical coefficient of Board Size (BDSIZE) yielded a positive value at the magnitude of 0.001883. This entails that there exists a positive relationship between board size and capital adequacy (CAPADE). It further entails that board size contributes positively to the capital adequacy of the selected money deposit banks in Nigeria. The coefficient of determination which measures the control power of the independent variable over the dependent variable was calculated with the instrument of adjusted R-Squared and it yielded 0.591596. This entails that the variations in capital adequacy of the selected deposit money banks is significantly influenced by the board size. This is in the magnitude of 59.156%. This is significant given that it is beyond average.

3.2. Test of Hypothesis

Restatement of hypothesis in null and alternate forms

Ho: Board size has no significant positive effect on the capital adequacy of money deposit banks.

Hi: Board size has significant positive effect on the capital adequacy of money deposit banks.

The regression table above shows that the computed t-statistics yielded 3.903657 and a check at the tabulated t-statistics at 5% level of significance yielded 2.131. This shows that the computed value of the t-statistics is greater than its tabulated value.

The decision rule is to accept the alternate hypothesis (Hi) if the computed t-statistics (t^*) is greater than the tabulated t-statistics ($t_{0.025}$) otherwise accept the null hypothesis.

From the above analysis, it is clearly seen that the computed t-statistics value = 3.903657 is greater than its tabulated value of 2.131. This compels the rejection of the null hypothesis (Ho) and the acceptance of the alternative (H₁). Hence; board size has significant positive effect on the capital adequacy of selected money deposit banks in Nigeria.

Board size has significant positive effect on the capital adequacy of selected money deposit banks in Nigeria ($t^*_{calculated}$ = 3.903657 > $t^*_{critical}$ = 2.131).

The tested hypothesis of the analysis reveals that board size has significant positive effect on the capital adequacy of selected money deposit banks in Nigeria. This entails that board size significantly influences the level of capital adequacy in deposit banks in the economy. This is in line with the findings of Sanda, Mikailu, & Garba, (2010), who explored the link between board size and assortment and financial presentation of insurance firms in Nigeria, with a precise indication of how gender diversity, ethnic diversity, board size, board composition and foreign directorship affect the financial performance of insurance

companies listed on the Nigerian Stock Exchange. The study reveals a significant relationship between board size and performance of insurance companies in Nigeria. The findings were however contrary to the findings of Oluyemi (2005), who carried out a study to examine the correlation between board composition and performance of Nigerian financial sector. The findings of the study showed that when there are more external board members, the performance of banks tends to be worse.

4. Conclusion

Based on the findings, the study concludes that on the average, board size have significant positive effect on capital adequacy of money deposit banks.

5. Recommendation

The finding of this study is that board size has significant positive effect on the capital adequacy of selected money deposit banks in Nigeria. Anchored on this finding, it is recommended that there should be optimal sustenance of the existing board size and should only be altered when objectively necessary.

References

- Acharya, V., Mehran, H., & Thakor, A. (2010). Caught between Scylla and Charybdis Regulating Bank Leverage when there is Rent Seeking and Risk Shifting, *Federal Reserve Bank of New*.
- Ahern, K. R., & Dittmar, A. K. (2012). The Changing of the Boards: The Impact on the Firm Valuation of Mandated Female Board Representation. The Quarterly *Journal of Economics*. 127 (1): 137 –197.
- Bhagat, S., & Bolton, B. (2008). Corporate Governance and Firm Performance. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 14, 257-273.
- Callaghan, M.A. (2005). "The Relationship between Chief Executive Officer Duality and Subsequent Corporate Financial Performance". Being a Dissertation Submitted to the University of Capella in Partial Fulfillment for the Award of Doctor of Philosophy.
- Carter, D.A., D'Souza F., Simkins B, J., & Simpson, W.G. (2010). "The Gender and Ethnic Diversity of US Boards and Board Committees and Firm Financial Performance." *Corporate Governance: An International Review* 18, no. 5 396-414.
- CBN, (2006). Code of Corporate Governance for Banks in Nigeria Post-Consolidation, Lagos, Nigeria.
- Choe, H. & Lee, B. (2003). 'Korean Bank Governance Reform after the Asian Financial Crisis', *Pacific-Basin Finance Journal*, 11, (4), pp.483-508.
- Chorafas, D. (2005). The Management of Equity Investment: Capital Markets, Equity Research. UK: Elsevier Butterworth-Heinemann.
- Coles, J.L., & Daniel. N D. (2008). "Boards: Does one Size Fit All?" *Journal of Financial Economics*, 87, 329-356.
- Dahya, J. & Travlos, N. G. (2000). Does One Man Show Pay? Theory and Evidence on the Dual Chief Executive Officer Revisited. *European Financial Management*, 6(1), 88-98.
- Daily, C.M., Dalton, D.R. & Cannella, A.A. (2003). Introduction to Special Topic Forum Corporate Governance: Decades of Dialogue and Data, Academy of Management Review, 28(3), 371–382.

- Dalton, M.C., Jacobs, H.D, & Dalton, R.D. (2006). Corporate Governance Best Practices: The Proof is in the Process, *Journal of Business Strategy*, 27, (4), 5-7.
- Erhardt, N. L., Werbel, J. D., & Shrader, C. B. (2003). Board of Director Diversity and Firm Financial Performance. Corporate Governance: An International Review, 11(2), 102-111.
- Firth, M., Peter M., & Oliver, M. (2006). Ownership, Board Structure, and the Informativeness of Earnings Evidence from an Emerging Market. *Journal of Economic Literature Classification*: G34; J63; L14.
- Flamini, V., Calvin, M. & Liliana, S. (2009). "The Determinants of Commercial Bank Profitability in Sub-Saharan Africa, 2009 International Monetary Fund Working Paper", Vol 15, pp1-33.
- Freeman, R.E., Wicks A.C., & Parmar, B. (2004). Stakeholder Theory and the Corporate Objective Revisited. Organization Science, May–June, 15(3): 364-369.
- Guest, P. M. (2008). The Determinants of Board Size and Composition: Evidence from the UK, *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 14, 51-72.
- Jensen, M. (1993). The Modern Industrial Revolution, Exit and the Failure of Internal Control Systems, *The Journal of Finance*, 48(3), 831–880.
- Jeon, Y. & Miller, S. M. (2006). Market Definition, Concentration, and Bank Performance. Working Paper. Las Vegas: University of Nevada.
- John, K. & Senbet L.W. (1998). "Corporate Governance and Board Effectiveness" *Journal of Banking and Finance*, 22: 371–403.
- Kajola, S. O. (2008). Corporate Governance and Firm Performance: The Case of Nigerian Listed Firms. European *Journal of Economics, Finance and Administrative Sciences*, 14, 16
- Kakabadse, N. K., Kakabadse, A. P. & Barrat, R. (2006). Chairman and Chief Executive Officer (CEO): That Sacred and Secret Relationship. *Journal of Management Development,* 25(2), 134-50.
- Kang, H., Cheng, M., & Gray, S. J. (2007). Corporate Governance and Board Composition: Diversity and Independence of Australian Boards. *Corporate Governance: An International Review, 15*(2), 194–207. 31.
- Kiel, G., & Nicholson. G. (2003). Board Composition and Corporate Performance: How the Australian Experience Informs Contrasting Theories of Corporate Governance, Corporate Governance: An International Review, 11(3), 189–205.
- Kim, K., & Nofsinger, J. (2007). Corporate Governance. 2Nd ed. New Jersey: Person Education, Inc.
- Liu, H. & Fong, M. (2008). Board Characteristics of Medium and large Chinese Companies. Unpublished working paper, Central University of Finance and Economics, and Victoria University.
- Macey J., & O'Hara, M. (2003). The Corporate Governance of Banks, *Federal Reserve Bank of New York Economic Policy Review*, 9(1): 91-107.
- Maina, A.W. (2005). The Effect of Board Composition on Firm's Performance. (Unpublished MBA Project.) The University of Nairobi, Nairobi, Kenya.
- Monks, R. A. G. & Minow, N. (2004). *Corporate governance*, 3rd. Madden, MA: Blackwell Publishing Ltd.
- Mülbert, Peter, O. (2010). Corporate Governance of Banks after the Financial Crisis Theory, Evidence, Reforms. April 2010. ECGI Law Working Paper No. 130/2009

- Nigeria Stock Exchange, Fact Book, Various Issues.
- Njoka, P.G. (2010). The Relationship between Corporate Governance Practices and Financial Performance of Property Management Companies in Kenya. Unpublished MBA project. School of Business, University of Nairobi.
- Omoye, S. A., & Eriki, P. O. (2013). Diversity in Board Ethnicity and Firm Performance: An Empirical Investigation of Selected Quoted Firms in Nigeria. *African Journal of Social Sciences* Vol.3: 35-45.
- Rashid, A., & Lodh, S. C. (2008). The Influence of Ownership Structures and Board Practices on Corporate Social Disclosures in Bangladesh, in Uddin, S. and Tsamenyi, M., (Editors), Research in Accounting in Emerging Economies, 94AABFJ| Volume 4, no. 1, 20108, 211-237.
- Sahin, C A. Basfirinci, B. A. Ozsalih, O. U. & Elsayed, K. K. (2011). Does Chief Executive Duality Really Affects Corporate Performance? *Corporate Governance: An International Review*, 15(6), 1203–1214.
- Walker, D. (2010). A Review of Corporate Governance in UK Banks and other Financial Industry Entities: Final Recommendations (London: Financial Reporting Council).
- Williams, D., Duncan, W., Ginter, P. & Shewchuk, R. (2006). 'Do Governance, Equity Characteristics, and Venture Capital Involvement Affect Long-Term Wealth Creation in US Health Care and Biotechnology IPOs? *Journal of Health Care Finance*, 33, (1), 54 71.
- Yoshikawa, T. & Phan, P. (2003). 'The Performance Implications of Ownership Driven Governance Reform, *European Management Journal*, 21(6), pp. 68-76.