

POSTHARVEST PHYSIOLOGY AND STORAGE LEGUME CROPS IN SUB SAHARAN AFRICA

Adam Tanko. IZGE^{1*}, Munir M.A. Dandago², F. A. Zangoma³, K.I, Mercy⁴,
A. S. Salamatu⁵, G.C. Zebere⁶

¹Department of Science Laboratory Technology (SLT), Federal Polytechnic Monguno, Borno State, P.M.B 1066

²Department of Food Science and Technology, Faculty of Agriculture and Agricultural Technology, Kano State
University of Science and Technology Wudil, Nigeria

³Department of Food Science and Technology, University of Maiduguri, Borno state, P.M.B 1037

^{3&6}Department of Food Science and Technology, Kaduna Polytechnic, Kaduna State

⁵Department of Food Science and Technology, Bayero University, Kano state, Nigeria P.M.B 3011

Abstract: Legume seeds are prone to perishability and have very short shelf-life if not given proper treatment. During different handling, storage and marketing operations, there is a huge postharvest loss of agricultural produce (legumes). The qualitative and quantitative losses incurred in cereals and legumes commodities between harvest and consumption are huge around Sub-Sahara Africa. The major cause of postharvest loss (PHL) is the poor infrastructure for postharvest technology (PHT) and processing of commodities. These losses can only be minimized by proper handling, storage, marketing, and processing of the agricultural commodities; as well as the use of modern preservation technologies such as heating, curing etc. Descriptive and quantitative approaches were used to present the information from the literature. Postharvest losses in the legumes supply chain in SSA occur predominantly at the pre-consumer level. Recent estimations of losses in legumes market were similar across SSA; 9.5% in East Africa, 9.8% in Central and Southern Africa, and 10.04% in West Africa. Examples of individual countries showed estimated losses of 10.1% in Kenya, 10.2% in South Africa and 13.4% in Nigeria representing multi-million dollar losses from these supply chains. Inadequate monitoring and quantifying of losses was noted in SSA. This document identifies postharvest challenges and presents possible interventions and opportunities for both large and small-scale producers. The implementation of any solutions in the SSA legumes supply chains will have to account for the specific contexts of each chain and level of production. The sufficient knowledge of pre-and post-harvest preservation technologies and the provision of adequate and sufficient storage facilities for legumes handling and distribution would help to mitigate the incidence of postharvest deterioration and therefore improve the availability of legumes in the market and subsequent reduction in malnutrition for increased food security.

Keywords: Postharvest, Physiology, Storage, Deterioration, Losses, Postharvest Technology, Food Security, Sub-Sahara Africa.

1.1 Introduction

The accessibility to quality, nutritious, and safe food to meet the demands of a growing global population is one of the grand challenges of limited/losses in postharvest in sub-Sahara Africa and worldwide. With a projected global population increase from more than 7 billion in 2012 to 9.5 billion in 2050, it is estimated that food production must increase by more than 60% to meet future demand. Much of the research on global population change and the impact on food systems focuses on the effects of change on agricultural production, such as the impact on land use, pollution and

biodiversity at the expense of post-harvest value chain issues such as storage, processing and packaging. The effect of population change on postharvest value chains cannot be ignored in Sub-Saharan African region, most especially Nigeria with it is fastest growing human population within the region. This is not unrelated to different reports of annual huge amount of food losses along the postharvest value chain. For example, higher temperatures as a result of climate change will reduce shelf-life of stored products while lower temperatures will extend the shelf-life. This will have serious post-harvest implication on all crops, especially legume crops which easily spoil with increases in temperature. Furthermore, the proliferation of pests and crop disease can increase due to temperature increases and pose a great threat to effective storage which may cause constraint in food quality and security around the Sub-Saharan Africa (SSA).

There is a direct correlation between plentiful harvest and postharvest spoilage. In countries with huge harvests, postharvest losses are higher than in countries with less bumper harvests which may be a consequence of a lack of care arising from a short supply of Technologies or laborers/workers to preserve the excess grains legumes. Consequently, legumes farmers may be forced to sell their grains at a less reasonable price during the harvesting season to prevent possible postharvest losses. The glut in the price of legumes could lead to short supply leading to increased losses arising from insect pest attacks (*Prostephanus truncates*). However, the effect of bumper harvests on losses had not been measured, and overall; the effect would be minimal compared with the losses resulting from an unfavorable climate or temperature at harvest time in Sub-Saharan African region. Certainly, farmers are often supplied with sufficient storage capacity in developed countries so that at least good harvests can be accommodated in fixed stores; unlike in developing countries where less attention is paid to farming and facilities for storage are lacking. In such instances, farmers are content to store surplus legumes in sacks in their houses. In most cases, especially, in Sub-Saharan African area, where subsistence farming is common, the use of bag, jar can and drum storage rather than traditional structures is practiced.

The global population is projected to reach more than 9.5 billion people by 2050, FAO 2023. This will require a 60% increase in global food production compared with 2010 –2024 levels, alongside more equitable access. Additionally, over 815 million people are chronically undernourished, especially in parts of sub-Saharan Africa (SSA) and South Asia, where 22.8% and 14.7% of the overall populations are undernourished, respectively. Postharvest loss (PHL) of food crops, during or after harvest (post-harvest) is a loss of valuable food and of the inputs required to produce and distribute it. Given its substantial scale, reducing PHL will help create more sustainable and resilient food systems, and reduce greenhouse gas emissions. PHL reduction can simultaneously optimize agricultural productivity and increase the incomes of small-scale food producers and associated value-chain actors, especially women, who are traditionally responsible for many postharvest activities, across Sub-Saharan African regions.

1.2 Postharvest

Postharvest begins when the process of collecting or separating foods of edible quality from its site of immediate production has been completed. The food must be separated from the medium that produced it by a deliberate human act with the intention of getting it to the table. The postharvest ends when the food enters the mouth (Aworh, 2017, Ashaye , 2019).

1.3 Identification of the Major Legume Seeds in Sub-Sahara African Region

Table 1.1 the major Grain Legumes seeds in Sun-Sahara Africa

Legume seeds in SSA	Variety Names							
Beans	Kidney beans	Black beans	Checkpeas	Lima beans				
Lentils	Green lentils	Red lentils	Yellow lentils	-				
Peas	Garden peas	Snow peas	Snap peas	-	-			
Peanuts	peanuts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Soybeans	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

1.3.1 Legumes

The legumes are dicots. Legumes are flowering plants (*dicotyledons*) in the Leguminosae family and were derived from the latin word *legere* (to gather) and *legumen* (seeds harvested in pods) during the mid-17th Century. It includes chickpea, black gram, mung bean, and pigeon pea which have an estimated 16,000–19,000 species in 750 genera. Asia ranks first both in area harvested and in production capacity. India, on the other hand, accounts for 75 and 96% of the total global production of chickpea and pigeon pea, respectively. The expression food legumes usually mean the immature pods and seeds as well as mature dry seeds used as food by humans. Based on Food and Agricultural Organization (FAO) practice, the term legume is used for all leguminous plants. Legumes such as French bean, lima bean, alfalfa, or others that contain a small amount of fat are termed pulses, and legumes that contain a higher amount of fat, such as soybean and peanuts, are termed leguminous oilseeds. Legumes represent an important source of food in developing countries. Soybean, groundnut, dry bean, pea, broad bean, chickpea, and lentil are the common legumes in most countries. In some countries, depending on the climatic condition and food habits such as Sub-Sahara Africa, other legumes are grown. Legumes are next to cereals in terms of their economic and nutritional importance as human food sources in Sub-Sahara African region. They are cultivated not only for their protein and carbohydrate content but also because of the oil content of oilseed legumes such as soybeans, groundnuts. Legumes are sources of protein and are relatively costlier economically compared to cereals with great food value; and are reasonable nutrients for the maintenance of the body, e.g., vitamins and minerals. The legumes has almost the same energy value per unit weight compared to the cereal grains (4.2 kcal), albeit, they provide more calcium, iron, thiamine, riboflavin, pantothenic acid, among others than cereals. The utilization of legumes is highest in India and Latin America owing to religious restrictions and food attitudes. Legumes also contain some anti-nutritional factors, such as *trypsin and chymotrypsin, phytate, lectins, polyphenols, flatulence-provoking and cyanogenic compounds, lathyrogens, estrogens, goitrogens, saponins, anti-vitamins, and allergens*. However, heat treatment is known to destroy the anti-nutrients, such as *protease inhibitors and lectins*, although it also destroys vitamins and amino acids. Legumes are a good source of dietary fiber; the crude fiber, protein, and lipid components have a *hypocholesterolemic* effect.

1.3.2 Properties of Cereal Grains and Legumes

Unlike fresh fruits and vegetables that have high moisture content (typically 70 -95%), large unit size (typically 5g to 10g), high rate of respiration, soft texture and short shelf life, cereal grains and legumes have low moisture content (typically 10 – 15%), small unit size (typically less than 1g), very low rate of respiration, hard texture and long shelf life. Consequently, fresh fruits and vegetables are commonly referred to as perishables while Cereal grains and legumes are referred to as durables. The problems associated with the postharvest storage and handling of durables are different from those of perishables. Whilst postharvest losses of fresh fruits and vegetables are largely due to senescence, sprouting, bruising and microbial infections, postharvest losses of cereal grains and legumes are largely due to moulds, insects and rodents.

1.3.3 Respiration

Unprocessed cereal grains and legumes are usually alive but their rate of respiration is much lower than perishable produce. Oilseeds such as soybeans and maize respire at a higher rate than the more fibrous cereals such as sorghum, rice, rye, oats, barley and wheat. As in the case of perishables, respiration in grains must be kept at a minimum for long shelf life. The moisture produced during respiration can increase the moisture of the grain, thus accelerating the rate of respiration. Similarly, the heat of respiration can increase the grain temperature and this will in turn accelerate the rate of respiration. The consequence is that the moisture content and temperature of the grain may be increased to such a level as to cause mouldiness and complete loss of grain'

2.1 Preservation of Legume Seeds

Improving staple crop production and storage is widely viewed as significant for increasing food security and reducing poverty around Sub-Saharan Africa. However, it is essential to recognize that food security challenges do not simply end at harvesting; farmers around SSA face numerous challenges after their grain Legumes leave the field or farm. Farmers who store grain legumes may experience significant quantity losses due to damage from rodents, insect pests, and mould, and subsequently increase the losses for the damages legumes.




Fig. 2.1 preservation of legumes seeds.

Part of the reasons for quantity loss occurs, because many farmers lack access to effective and safe preservation technology. These technologies have potential impacts for reducing loss and significant in physiology positively impact but are currently not available in many rural settings. Access to improved legume seeds is a key element in assuring food security and climate resilience for farmers in sub-Saharan Africa (SSA). It was strongly believed by the 1970s that postharvest losses (PHLs) at the farm level were high due to traditional practices. However, traditional practices are unlikely culprits as farmers have survived more difficult conditions over long periods by adapting their practices to the situational challenges. Nonetheless, compelling losses sometimes occur that could be due to agricultural developments for which the farmer is not versed due to non-availability of extension agents. Among these agricultural developments is the introduction of high yielding varieties that are more susceptible to pest damage, additional cropping seasons that result in the need for harvesting and drying when weather is damp or cloudy or farmers producing significant surplus grains, and because it is to be marketed rather than consumed by the household, the farmer failed to provide the necessary storage facilities for the preservation of the surplus grains legumes.

2.2 Preservation Methods of Grains Legume Seeds

Theoretically, any method of food preservation should prevent all the above three (microbial, enzymatic, and *proteolytic*) types of spoilage. However, current industrial innovation methods have failed to meet these expectations as a whole. Most importantly, microbial spoilage must be prevented at all costs in whichever preservation method is employed, but the effectiveness of thermo-bacteriological treatment for microbial destruction varies to different degrees in the prevention of enzyme activities, *proteolytic* reactions, and the destruction of different micro-

organisms. Recent innovative preservation technologies such as ohmic heating, irradiation, infrared, pulse electric field, edible coating, radio frequency, and encapsulation lack the ability to forestall all the concerns posed by spoilage effects completely. These industrial methods employ distinct preservation principles aimed at arresting and or preventing food spoilage. In a nutshell,

2.2.1 the industrial method of food preservation makes use of the following principles:

- i. The ability to remove moisture through the use of drying/dehydration, evaporation/concentration, etc.
- ii. The ability to remove heat from food products by *lyophilization*/freeze concentration, refrigeration/cold storage, freezing, etc.
- iii. Heat addition - heat could be added to food products to destroy microorganisms or inactivate their activities by canning, sterilization, pasteurization, thermization, etc.
- iv. Addition of chemicals/preservatives – some chemicals called preservatives may be added to processed food to prevent contamination by the microorganism or forestall enzymatic/browning reactions. Examples of such chemical additives are *sorbates*, *benzoates*, etc.
- v. Fermentation - during fermentation, secondary metabolites are produced by microorganism which preserves the food product.
- vi. Controlled atmosphere preservation – in controlled atmosphere preservation, the food products' environment is modified to prevent spoilage.

2.3 Postharvest pest managements

Pests pose a very big challenge during the postharvest storage of grain legumes, transportation as well as during distribution. The quality and quantity of grains legumes are reduced by pests if not properly controlled. Pest infestation is a big source of worry for both farmers and food processors because of the losses in investment and profit depletion that come with it. Some of the grain pest control techniques conventionally adopted are fumigation and controlled atmosphere of CO₂ and N₂. Novel techniques have also been developed to take care of some of the shortcomings of conventional pest management practices like fumigation that make use of chemicals.

Table: 3.1 Postharvest preservation technologies,

Chemical Fumigants (Phosphine tablets and methyl bromide)	Compound/Mechanism	Effect
Chemical Fumigant	<i>Phosphine Tablets and Methyl bromide</i>	Toxic to living organisms and human
Sensor-based Vacuum hermetic Fumigation and storage	Hermetic contaminant, very low oxygen	No harmful effect to humans
Irradiation	Ionizing irradiation (0.1 – 50 kGy)	Effective in fungal destruction Grain disinfection
Radio frequency	1 – 300 MHz up to 11 M Wavelength, penetrate Dielectric material and Produce heat volumetrically	Destroy insects and disinfects dry grains
Infrared	0.5 - 100µm	Vibrations in molecules of water with heat generation

Examples of some of the emerging technologies which have found use in pest management include irradiation, radio frequency, infrared, and microwaves. Methyl bromide application and treatment with hot air on grain legumes preservation facilities or systems is also a common practice for disinfection in the grain legumes preservation.

2.3.1 Irradiation (IR)

Food irradiation is a food preservation technique during which ionizing radiation (0.1–50 KGy) is used to destroy target microorganisms in order to extend the shelf life of foods. During irradiation, microbial inactivation is achieved through free radical development which disrupts DNA and cell membrane integrity. It has shown to be effective in sprout inhibition, elimination of parasites and insects, destruction of spoilage, and pathogenic microorganisms. Radiation treatment at low and moderate doses has been recommended for the disinfestation of legumes. The treatment has also been found to be effective for the reduction of flatulence-causing *oligosaccharides* as well as *trypsin* and *chymotrypsin* inhibitors. With these effects of irradiation on anti-nutritional factors in legumes, the nutritive quality of irradiated beans is thereby improved. Stored produces, especially grains have been successfully decontaminated with ionizing radiation as it affects the internal structure. Irradiation technology has been very effective in controlling the *Aspergillus*, *Penicillium*, *Rhizopus*, and *Fusarium* fungi infection in many grains and prolonging the shelf life over 6 months. The source of radiation that is usually utilized is Co-60 and selenium.

2.3.2 Radio frequency (RF) heating

Radio frequencies (RF): are electromagnetic waves that are able to penetrate dielectric materials. They usually are characterized by a wavelength of about 11m and with a frequency range of 1 to 300 MHz. With this ability to penetrate dielectric materials like food grains, they are able to produce heat volumetrically. They are able to do this through ionic polarization or dipole rotation. With the higher moisture content in food grains or legumes, their ability to act as dielectric materials is

increased, allowing them to act as electric capacitors and resistors and useful in the preservation and conversion and electrical to thermal energy. This can be possible within an electromagnetic field. In comparison, the higher moisture content in insects and the consequent higher electrical conductivity would make them require higher lethal temperatures and higher lethal time. At a lethal temperature and time of 50°C for 29 minutes or 54°C for 5 minutes, it would be possible to completely destroy a wide range of insects. This process of higher heating rates and its application finds use in the disinfection of grains on an industrial scale. This was demonstrated using a 27 MHz and 6 kW RF unit where the RF proved superior to forced hot air with respect to heating time required (5–7 minutes as against 275 minutes) to heat 3 kg of legumes to 60°C. Good quality product and uniformity in temperature distribution across the surface and interior of the legumes was achieved in the legume samples by a combination of RF heating followed by a movement of forced hot air as grains move through conveyors at 0.56metres per minute. The final interior temperatures of the containments used were above 55.8°C while 57.3°C was recorded for the surfaces of all legumes tested with resultant low index values for uniformity of 0.014–0.016 (ratio of standard deviation to the average temperature rise) for the distribution of interior temperature and 0.061–0.078 for the distribution of surface temperatures. Legumes treatment with RF in combination with forced hot air (60°C) to retain the needed treatment temperature for 10 min followed by the rapid cooling of the air through a 1cm product layer yielded products with high quality. There were no significant differences in weight, moisture, color, and germination when samples used for control were compared to treated ones.

2.3.3 Infrared

Infrared is a segment in the electromagnetic spectrum found in between the microwave region and the visible spectrum area characterized by a wavelength of about 0.5 to 100µm. The absorption of infrared rays produces vibrations in the molecules of water, with consequent heat generation. Infrared-based technologies have been found to be energy-efficient and eco-friendly when compared with other conventional methods. Infrared technology also has many other merits like short process duration, uniform effect on food material, low energy requirement, high rate of heat transfer, and enhanced quality of products. As a result of some of the above-listed characteristics, infrared-based technologies have been used in very many food operations like boiling, heating, drying, peeling, recovery of *polyphenols* and antioxidants, freeze-drying, roasting, microbiological inactivation, grains sterilization, juice and bread production, and cooking. The idea of the usage of infrared rays to disinfect/sanitize grains was established in the early 1960s and 1970s. Based on its exceptionally effective microbial inactivation characteristics, grain industries usually adopt it as a preferred operation for grain disinfection against various chemical methods. Infrared operations involve three different mechanisms in destroying micro-organisms namely thermal inactivation, induction heating, and the distortion of DNA integrity. As documented by, the Infrared treatment of mung bean for 5 minutes at an intensity and temperature of 0.29 kWm and 70°C respectively resulted in the total inactivation of fungal growth. Since the penetration rate of infrared is low, its effectiveness gets reduced with an increase in the depth of food. It is therefore recommended more for food surfaces sterilization than other processes. Catalytic-infrared emitters have also been developed and used for the control of weevils in rice, merchant grain beetle, and saw-toothed grain beetle. Generally, a little exposure of about 60 seconds is adequate to destroy insects that strive externally or internally in the grains kept in preservation facilities.

2.3.4 Microwaves

Microwaves are electromagnetic radiations with short-wavelength; which has an excellent microbial destruction potential when compared to other conventional chemical methods. Microwave technology is now a highly adopted process by most grain industries for disinfection. They provide protection on grains from insects, preservation fungi, and field fungi. However, treatment with the use of microwave can induce several adverse effects on seed germination and can affect grain quality. These adverse effects of microwaves are due to variations in heating caused by the difference in cold and hot spot temperature.

2.3.5 Fumigation

Fumigation is a very active pest control technique. *Phosphine* gas for example is used to kill grain pests at every growth level of their life cycle; this is inclusive of pests with high resistance ability. Nonetheless, the *phosphine* gas application level needs to be up to 300 parts per million (ppm) and sustained at this level for a minimum of one week at 25°C or more. Alternatively, at a temperature of 25°C or less, a 200 ppm concentration of *phosphine* gas should be maintained for 10 days for effective and efficient destruction of pests that destroy legumes. *Phosphine* application exists in two forms; they include bag chains and tablets. There are also a number of ways with which each choice can be adopted effectively in a gas-proof secured silo. Bag chains are also considered a very safe system that assures one of not having any fumigant residue on the grain nor having the operator harmed in whatever way. The next form that *phosphine* exists in tablet form and is the most widely used and accepted. There exists a third approach in *phosphine* application which involves the use of a *phosphine* blanket and is mostly used for very large storages of above 600 tones. The application of *phosphine* and the concentrations to be used depend on the silo (which should be gas-tight and sealable) volume used for the fumigation. The *phosphine* concentration to be used is strictly determined based on the volume of the silo rather than the quantity of grain in the silo. An airtight-covered silo especially one that passes the half-life pressure test must have to remain sealed through the entire fumigation period in order to attain a perfect fumigation result with the use of *phosphine* tablets and/or bag chains. In an airtight-sealed silo, fumigation is expected to last for 7 days with a temperature of above 25°C, and 10 days if the temperature falls between 15 and 25°C. Nonetheless, if the temperature in the silo is less than 15°C, pests particularly insects will be inactive and *phosphine* is not usually effective at such low temperatures. Based on the *phosphine* at temperatures lower than 15°C, *phosphine* application is not advisable at temperatures lower than 15°C. The silo must remain closed when fumigation is on and should only be accessed by personnel with suitable personal protective equipment (PPE) as it is dangerous for the operator. Constant opening of the silo is also detrimental to the effectiveness of the fumigation process considering the fact that the *phosphine* gas concentration and absorption rate would have been reduced below the lethal level recommended for pests' destruction.

Recommendations for the *phosphine* label came to be as a result of detailed testing by the industry, in other words, making use of *phosphine* as indicated on the label will ensure perfect results. *Phosphine* is rated high as a very reliable fumigant for the control of pests in grain preservation facilities and other production enterprises. Nevertheless, there has been a continuous misuse of fumigants with a resultant effect of poor pest control and the development of resistance in certain species of pests. More so, just as the continuous use of herbicides that has the same principle of action advances weeds being resistant, continuous use of *phosphine* could lead to grain pest resistance. Nonetheless, in the case of herbicides, the development of resistance by pests can yearly

be circumvented by alternating the chemicals used. The same cannot be said for stored grain fumigation as options are limited and where available, they are not cost-effective. In other words, it is best to avoid the resistance of *phosphine* by using it as instructed. Other fumigants and a controlled atmosphere may be used for stored grain pests but they are often high in price. However, to prevent resistance of stored grain pests, *phosphine* sealed in a silo that is impermeable to gas should be used.

2.3.6 Controlled atmosphere

In spite of the fact that *phosphine* is the common most used gas fumigant, there exist other gas fumigants for controlling pests in stored grain. These alternatives are however more expensive than *phosphine* and still require a gas-tight, seal-able silo but they offer other options for resistant pest species. Nitrogen (N₂) and carbon dioxide (CO₂) have the advantage of being nonchemical control alternatives. Because nitrogen and CO₂ methods of control change the balance of natural atmospheric gases to produce a toxic atmosphere, they are hence referred to as controlled atmosphere (CA).

2.3.7 Carbon dioxide (CO₂)

Treatment with CO₂ involves displacing the air inside a gas-tight silo with CO₂ at concentrations high enough to be toxic to grain pests. This requires a seal impermeable to gases, measured by a half-life pressure test of no less than five minutes. In order to eliminate all life stages of the main grain pests, CO₂ must be retained at a minimum concentration of 35% for 15 days. To achieve a 35% concentration level of CO₂ for 15 days, 30 kg cylinder per 15 tons of storage capacity is required. CO₂ is an odorless, colorless, non-flammable gas that is approximately one and a half times heavier than air. Food grade CO₂ comes in form of a liquid in pressurized cylinders and when released from the cylinder, changes to a gas. Carbon dioxide is less effective at temperatures below 20°C. This is because insects are less active at this temperature, so the CO₂ concentration must be maintained for an extended period.

2.3.8 Nitrogen

Grains stored in a nitrogen saturated environment ensure the control of insects and preserve product quality without the use of chemicals. Nitrogen-based storage systems maintain the quality of canola and pulses through the inhibition of the respiration process that causes oxidation, which may result in the increase in free fatty acids, loss of color, and seed deterioration. Grain legumes treatment with nitrogen (for the purpose of pest control) is safe, environmentally friendly, and involves the usage of electricity for its major operations. Nitrogen produces no residues when used, so grains can be sold instantaneously whenever decided as against what is practiced for chemical fumigants which have recommendation period for withholding after fumigation. The use of nitrogen as an insect control technique involves the use of Pressure Swinging Adsorption (PSA) technology in adjusting the atmospheric composition of the grain storage system to expel other gases other than nitrogen, thus depriving the pests of the needed oxygen. The method of application entails purging the silo to its base with gas majorly composed of nitrogen. This is done in order to force out from the silo the oxygen-rich air through the top of the silo. Several hours of operation are required for PSA to build up about 99.5% pure nitrogen and before the air composition reduces to 2% oxygen. It is difficult for adult insects to thrive in 2% concentration of oxygen, provided this concentration is maintained for 21 days at 25°C or above for the temperature of the grain. The inhibition of the different stages of the life cycle of insects (eggs, larvae, and pupae) will be difficult below these

recommended temperatures and the number of days for grain storage. For grain temperatures below 25°C, this treatment duration should further be extended to a 28-day period. Additional purging of the silo may be needed to get rid of oxygen that has diffused from the grains and it must be re-evaluated 24 hours after fumigation in order to achieve effective and efficient pest control.

2.4 Drying technologies

Scientists from all over the world continuously search for new and effective means and use of renewable sources of energy as a result of the continuous increase in the price of fossil fuels and increased levels of greenhouse gas emissions. The world's energy intake is doubled every 20 years and this increase in energy consumption, has resulted in fossil fuels causing many environmental problems and pollution. Drying is a processing technique used for food product preservation and reducing food spoilage. About 3.62% of the world's energy is used for the drying of agricultural products. Presently, the requirement for new drying technology that promotes the higher quality product and efficient drying in shorter periods is the current need. And as a result, hybrid drying systems have emerged as an excellent technique for their versatile drying outcomes, with lower energy requirements and minimum environmental impact. Lately, various hybrid solar dryers which are more efficient in conjunction with other sources for heating the air, hence reducing drying cost and energy consumption have been developed.

Grain legumes are usually dried after harvesting before storage in storage facilities. Drying grain legumes to a recommended safe moisture level is fundamental in achieving safe storage of grain legumes. However, too rapid drying of nuts can lead to hardening of the grain core with poor interior while very slow drying may result in microbial growth which will lead to quality deterioration. Recirculation of the solar drying air is thus employed to make efficient use of the heated air by giving a drying rate that provides acceptable product quality.

Drying of pulses is essential because they contain high moisture content of about 18–25% at the time of harvest and, for safe storage, the optimum moisture content need to be in the range of 9–12% to avoid *mycotoxin* production. It is essential that the grain is dried to a safe moisture level as quickly as possible to avoid deterioration regardless of the drying system employed. There are several techniques of non-natural open-sun drying of grains with hot air. Some of these forms of drying include spouted-bed drying, fixed bed drying, moving bed drying, fluidized-bed drying, and thin-layer drying. Apart from some of these specialized dryers used for grain drying, all-purpose grain drying systems can as well be used in the drying of grain legumes. Generally, as documented by, dryers or drying systems are categorized depending on the following:

- i. The flow of grain wherein the dryers are denoted as - batch, recirculating and continuous dryers,
- ii. The relative motion of the grains and the circulating air used for drying. Concurrent, counter-current, cross/mixed flow dryers are found in this category.
- iii. The source of heat: solar, propane, and electrical dryers are examples of dryers in this category.

Regardless of the type of dryer used in drying grains, the concurrent heat energy transfers and moisture loss principle/process is the same for the drying of grain legumes and equally for other grains. The process of drying grains involves the loss of free moisture which involves the drying of the grain until its equilibrium moisture content is attained. The equilibrium moisture content of the grain implies the final moisture content attained by the grain at a pre-determined relative humidity

and temperature. The cardinal factors that influence the drying rate of grain legumes are temperature, grain moisture content, relative humidity, and air velocity.

The use of solar dryers is also another medium for drying legumes. A lot of solar drying systems exist for grain drying such as direct, non-direct, and solar. Solar dryers have the problem of the dehydration process being stopped as a result of an absence of solar radiation and absence of radiation at night or low insulation, which decreases the quality of the grains legumes. So far, there have been efforts to proffer solutions to the problems of solar systems, some of which include, the addition of thermal storage materials, phase change materials, and adding a variety of heating modes either direct or indirect. This has led to the evolution of several types of solar dryers. Thermal storage materials have the ability to store thermal energy when there is solar radiation and then make use of this thermal energy when the sun is not available. Three main forms of solar dryers exist with varying sizes, designs, and magnitude are as follows below.

2.4.1 Classification of solar dryer

The three major types of solar dryers with various sizes, capacities, and designs are:

- i. Direct solar dryers
- ii. Indirect solar dryers
- iii. Mixed-mode solar dryers

2.4.2 Direct type solar dryer

This is a form of the solar dryer where the radiation from the sun is used directly incident on the grains legume to be drained. The dryers are quite simple in structure, less expensive, little or no maintenance needed, and also simple to use. It can be fabricated with a wooden box with a glass cover and some holes for air entrance and exit also. After the usage of the direct type of solar dryers, the food products are usually not very nice in appearance, color, texture, and with a reduced nutritional quality.

In direct-type solar drying, produces to be dried are spread on the ground or mats exposed directly to the sun to absorb solar radiation. As noted, sun-dried grains are prone to high crop losses due to:

- i. Non-uniform moisture loss
- ii. Attack by insects and rodents
- iii. Inability to attain moisture levels that are safe for the safe storage of grains legumes
- iv. Proliferation of micro-organisms and possible toxin production.

These challenges have led to the development of other drying techniques like solar drying to overcome the aforementioned challenges. Solar dryers have faster rates, better efficiency, more hygienic with less crop losses when compared to sun drying.

2.4.3 Open sun drying

Here, food products are placed right under the sun, below solar radiation to get rid of their moisture properties. The difference in density in the air from the atmosphere allows for air movement. In other words, to get a product dried, they are usually spread in a large area under solar radiation. It is usually time-consuming till it is dry to a required level. All that is needed is a large surface ground done with concrete or a suitable soil area with products laid on them between ten to thirty days depending on a favorable weather situation. This form of drying technique consumes a lengthy time of sun subjection which can sometimes bring down the nutrition level of the products, like sapping off their vitamins. Open solar drying is a good choice for food drying but comes with a lot of

problems such as reduced product quality, adverse effects of rain, moist, wind, animal consumption, and dust. The use of industrial drying comes in as another option which is very expensive. It would need a lot of fossil fuel which will result in air pollution. Nonetheless, the spread and adoption of solar energy is likely to take prominence in the coming years and is without negative environmental effective factors.

2.4.4 Cabinet type solar dryer

The cabinet form of the dryer is advantageous for preserving smaller food products such as vegetables, pepper, and fruits. It has a roof that is transparent with covers that could be either single or two, made using a black-colored plate cover that serves as an absorbing entity for the storage of energy from the sun. Suitable perforated holes allow for the free flow of air and the removal of moisture.

2.4.5 Indirect mode solar dryer

When it comes to moisture removal and heat transfer, indirect sun dryers differ from direct solar dryers. This style of drier is utilized for quick drying. The atmospheric air is heated in a solar air collector in this dryer, and then this hot air moves towards the drying cabin, where products are kept to dry, and the hot air absorbs some moisture from the drying products before exiting through the chimney.

2.4.6 Mixed mode solar dryer

The term “mixed mode solar dryer” refers to a solar dryer that uses both direct and indirect heating methods. The inlet air is heated at the solar air collector before entering the drying chamber in a mixed mode solar dryer. Some of the drying chamber’s sides are composed of glass, which adds to the drying chamber’s overall warmth. The product is dried using a combination of hot air and direct sunlight in this procedure. In comparison to direct and indirect solar dryers, mixed mode solar dryers require less drying time. Biomass has been used in hybrid sun dryers as an auxiliary heat source to keep drying going all night. Cashews, for example, have been dried in these dryers.

2.4.7 Greenhouse solar dryer

Tent dryers are similar to greenhouse sun dryers. They have vent sizes that control airflow. Board glazing is used on all sides of this type of drying system. The greenhouse drying system provides a higher degree of control when used in conjunction with the appropriate settings. The main benefit of a greenhouse solar dryer is that it can provide alternate heating with charcoal or briquette burners during inclement weather and can also be used at night.

Greenhouse solar dryers are a type of solar dryer that was developed to address some of the issues that open solar dryers face. The greenhouse solar dryer might be created out of polycarbonate sheets in parabolic shapes, with direct current blowers to help with airflow in the dryer, which has a floor area made out of concrete. Solar radiation intensity was observed between 390 to 820 W/m². Greenhouse drying is one of the world’s oldest methods of crop preservation. It entails the phenomena of heat and mass transmission. The product’s thermal energy is used in two stages. The temperature of the product rises in the first step due to sensible heat, and the moisture in the product vaporizes in the second step due to the provision of latent heat of vaporization. The greenhouse dryer provides a regulated environment in terms of relative humidity and temperature, which is better for crop drying and hence reduces drying time. The essential processes in the construction of

a greenhouse system include vaporization. The greenhouse drier provides a regulated environment in terms of relative humidity and temperature, making crop drying more efficient.

- i. Natural convection greenhouse dryer
- ii. Forced convection greenhouse dryer

2.4.8 Natural convection greenhouse dryer

Incident sun energy is passed through the canopy and used to heat the crops in a natural convection greenhouse dryer. The temperature of the crop rises as a result of solar radiation absorption. The thermophilic effect is used to operate the natural convection greenhouse drier. Humid air is vented through the dryer's chimney or evacuated through an outlet on the top, while warm air is pumped through the crop by buoyancy forces. Natural convection mode refers to this type of airflow within the drying chamber, and a natural convection greenhouse drier is one that works in this manner.

2.4.9 Forced convection greenhouse dryer

The forced convection greenhouse dryer was born out of a desire for increased air circulation and drying rates. To adjust temperature and moisture evaporation according to the weather conditions, an optimal airflow should be given in the greenhouse drier during the drying process. An exhaust fan on the upper half of the west wall is used to evacuate humid air. Forced convection greenhouse dryers employ a fan or blower to control airflow.

The mixed mode solar dryer outperforms other types of solar dryers in terms of drying efficiency, drying time, and thermal efficiency. It has been discovered that a mixed mode solar dryer with a Phase-Change-Material is the best for drying grains with higher efficiency and shorter drying times, as well as being smaller, having fewer moving parts, and requiring less maintenance. In a mixed mode solar dryers with 1.5 m/s air velocity, beans with up to 60% moisture content can be reduced down to 6% within six hours of drying. The time required for drying depends on factors like solar radiation, ambient condition, and relative humidity while the solar collector efficiencies can be as high as 61.82%.

3.1 Storage

Cleaning of grains legume to remove extraneous materials and contaminants is very fundamental in achieving good and safe storage. It established that cleaning before storage of grains influences the quality of the grain legumes. Cleaning involves the removal of unwanted extraneous material (straws, sand, stone, etc.) from the grain legumes or legume seeds.

The storage of grain legumes is a very cardinal stage in the postharvest handling of legumes. Its importance is based on the fact that if the optimal conditions for their safe storage are not maintained a high level of postharvest losses could be incurred.

3.1.2 Traditional storage methods

One of the simplest traditional methods of storing grain is simply by hanging bundles of grain in the open. Unhusked maize cobs may be hung in bundles from trees or sometimes tied to poles stuck vertically in the ground in the field. Storage in bins made of plant materials is a common traditional method of storing un-threshed grains in many developing tropical countries. The storage structure may be constructed entirely of plant materials such as woven grass, bamboo, and palm fronds with clearly defined walls in addition to a floor. The floor is generally raised highest above ground level in areas where there is danger of floods or domestic animals. Storage of grains in bins made of clay or mud

known as rhombus is the most common method of storing grains in the dry savanna zone of northern Nigeria. It is an improvement over storage in bins made of plant materials. The amount of clay or mud used in building the bins varies. The floor alone may be made of mud or the floor and the walls may be made of mud with an overhanging thatched roof. In storing grains from leguminous crops, the usage of suitable packaging and packaging materials is very crucial in achieving good results in postharvest management of leguminous grains in sun-sahara African region.

Packaging also serves a very key role during distribution and marketing (to maintain quality). In village areas of developing and even developed nations, grains including pulses are still kept in traditional storage facilities which are fabricated with natural materials or woven threads. Typical examples of some of the traditional storage structures used include underground pits, thatched roof storage, plastic containers, and basket silos. Though these local structures have a low construction and maintenance cost, they are not very durable, easily invaded by insects and pests resulting in grain legumes quality deterioration. Developing nations are currently adopting warehouse storage structures for storing their grains in very large quantities. The materials used for the construction of storage facilities and structures have a direct influence on the moisture content and temperatures in the storage structures. Wooden sticks, concrete blocks, cement, bamboo, and metals (aluminum or steel) are some of the very common materials used for the fabrication of storage structures for grains legumes.

3.1.3 Storage in Silo

Silos are currently very common storage facilities for storing grain in many countries and constitute about 79% of all on-farm grain storage facilities in Australia. Silos are very ideal storage alternative for grain legumes (pulses) especially the cone-based variant which makes for very easy grain unloading/discharge with very low seed damage possibilities. For long-term storage of above three months' duration, there is a need for the incorporation of aeration cooling systems and the use of gas-tight sealable storage which are recommended for efficient and effective fumigation regimes in managing and achieving best quality control.

Metal silos are fabricated by incorporating augers and ventilators for grain aeration in order to reduce the formation of hot spots. Metal silos with ventilators and augers are considered advanced grain storage systems as they have the ability to extend the shelf-life of grain legumes through controlled respiration and the development of unfavorable conditions for all sorts of grain legume pests.

Metal silos of different sorts, fabricated with galvanized iron or recycled oil drums have been developed as an economic, effective, and efficient containers storage option. These silos are suitable for a long duration of storage of cereals and grain legumes in a water-resistant and hermetically controlled environment. Grains stored in metal silos provide protection from rodents, insects, and water, and are thus very good storage systems for pulses. However, there is a need to protect or shield silos from direct sun rays and other heating sources capable of increasing the temperature of the grains contained therein to avoid condensation. As an alternative, silos can be situated in well-ventilated areas with shade to avoid elevating the temperature of the silos. It is worthy of note that metal silos are very efficient and effective for grain storage but they are also expensive. If there is direct exposure of silos to sunlight or the external air is lower than that in the silos which contain the grains, there may be a formation of currents of convectional flow. As a result of the convectional air currents generated, the moist air is being blown pass through the grains. As the moist air travels

and meets cooler surfaces like the silo walls, condensation of the moisture will take place and the grain within that area will get dampened. This dampening occurrence is a cardinal problem associated with grains stored in silos made of steel and particularly utilized for storage in hot areas with daily clear sky. High day temperatures and cool night temperatures are a result of a clear sky. The problem of elevated temperatures can be mitigated in small silos by providing a shield in form of a roof or a hat, to prevent direct contact of sun rays with the surface of the silos. Solutions for larger silos may involve grain silo ventilation or transferring of the grains from the silo with a high temperature to another one that has a cool condition. Grain movement during the transfer of grains to another cool silo has the tendency to provide grains with more homogenous moisture content. In a case where the moisture content is too high, then there will be a need to dry the grains legume again.

3.1.4 Storage in a warehouses

One of the most efficient methods used for storing grains on a large scale is by bagging properly dried grains and storing them in properly designed warehouses. Storage in warehouses has several advantages for developing tropical countries. The method is very flexible and adaptable; grain in jute bags can be stacked in warehouses together with other crops. Some initial drying of the grain is required but this is not as critical as with bulk storage in silos. Moisture content of just below 15% is adequate in most cases. The capital cost is very low compared with silo storage and specialised capital-intensive grain handling equipment are not required. Warehouses for effective storage of bagged grain should have a number of desirable features. The roof, walls and other parts of the building should be leak-proof and the floor should not transmit water from the soil. The warehouse should be rodent and bird-proof and all areas through which rodents and birds can gain access to the store should be sealed. Metal guards and wire netting should be used. It should be possible to seal the entire warehouse completely to allow fumigation and it should be designed and built to allow controllable ventilation.



Fig 3.2 storage in a warehouse

3.1.4 Storage in Hermetic bags/cocoon

It's still possible for foreign pests like *Callosobruchus maculatus* and *Callosobruchus chinensis* to be located in grain legumes storage systems during storage if appropriate pest management regimes are not strictly adhered to. Grain legumes storage in hermetic bags/Cocoons has to a large extent aided farmers in many countries in storing and extending the shelf life of their produces as they await periods with better produce value and pricing. This has resulted in better financial gains for farmers that make use of Hermetic bags/cocoons storage in extending the shelf life of their produces with the target of a better sales period. The technique of using hermetically sealed polyethylene silo bags is an effective alternative for the protection of stored grain legumes in commercial storage systems and is presently gaining more prominence for both on-farm sites and off-farm sites.

4.1 strategies in reducing post-harvest losses in Sub-Sahara Africa

Most grains have a single annual harvesting season, although in bimodal rainfall areas there may be two harvests. Farmers normally harvest grain crops once the grain reaches physiological maturity (moisture content is 20-30%) (FAO, 2011). At this stage the grain is very susceptible to pest attacks. Poor farmers sometimes harvest crops too early due to food deficiency or the desperate need for cash in SSA. In this way, the food incurs a loss in nutritional and economic value, and may get wasted if it is not suitable for consumption.

Quality cannot be improved after harvest, only maintained; therefore, it is important to harvest at the appropriate time.

4.1.1 Drying: Most farmers in Sub-Sahara Africa, both small and large, rely almost exclusively on natural drying of crops by combining sunshine and movement of atmospheric air through the product; consequently, damp weather at harvest time can be a serious cause of postharvest losses. Grains should be dried in such a manner that damage to the grain is minimized and moisture levels are lower than those required to support mold growth during storage (usually below 13-15%). This is necessary to prevent further growth of fungal species that may be present on fresh grains. The harvested crop may be dried in the yard or in a crib.

4.1.2 Threshing/shelling: For some grains, particularly millet and sorghum, threshing may be delayed for several months after harvest and the unthreshed crop stored in open cribs. In the case of maize, the grain may be stored on the cob with or without sheathing leaves for some months, or the cobs may be shelled and grain stored. Some machinery suitable for small-scale operation exists such as: maize sellers.

4.1.3 Transportation: Temperature management is critical during long distance transport, so loads must be stacked to enable proper air circulation to carry away heat from the produce itself as well as incoming heat from the atmosphere and off the road. In Nigeria traditional baskets and various types of trays or buckets are used for transporting produce to the house or to village markets. These are usually of low cost, made from readily available material and serve the purpose for transport over short distances. But, they have many disadvantages in large loads carried over long distances (i.e. they are difficult to clean when contaminated).

4.2 Statistic

Descriptive and quantitative approaches were used to present the information from the literature. Postharvest losses in the legumes supply chain in SSA occur predominantly at the pre-consumer level. Overall, recent estimations of losses in legumes market were similar across SSA; 9.5% in East Africa, 9.8% in Central and Southern Africa, and 10.04% in West Africa. Examples of

individual countries showed estimated losses of 10.1% in Kenya, 10.2% in South Africa and 13.4% in Nigeria representing multi-million dollar losses from these supply chains. Inadequate monitoring and quantifying of losses was noted in SSA. This document identifies postharvest challenges and presents possible interventions and opportunities for both large and small-scale producers. The implementation of any solutions in the SSA legumes supply chains will have to account for the specific contexts of each chain and level of production.

4.2 Future Perspectives needs of Legumes Research

Legume crops can be developed for future exploitation, where the legumes provide many direct and indirect benefits in Agriculture, Health, Nutrients, Feed, Environmental protection, and Fuel/economic. The research efforts of legume crops should be directed in five directions, Financing, Breeding, Production, Farmers, and Marketing. Provide financing for legume crops improvement as availability of credit, insurance facilities, besides working to increase support for scientific work and knowledge transfer activities to legume farmers, also, technology could play different roles In improving legumes production under climate change and the increased global food demand as the population is multiplying in the region of SSA, and worldwide every year, using precision agriculture tools could help farmers to overcome different negative impacts of many problems, which contributes to increasing productivity and improving farmers profitability and protects the environment. Serious and fruitful investment in development and innovation research in order to create new, distinct and sustainable agricultural processing facilities large-small scale of legumes for the marketing process.

Food control systems should be developed and operated in accordance with fundamental principles including a food chain approach, risk analysis, transparency and the involvement of all the concerned stakeholders in postharvest storage.

- ✓ Marketing, companies and cooperatives are essential for handling produce and reducing postharvest losses by providing facilities for accumulating, preparing and transporting produce to markets; by coordinating marketing activities; and by distributing profits equitably to members.
- ✓ It is important to select the technologies that are appropriate for the size of each postharvest enterprise.
- ✓ Price efficiency inducing policies designed to increase the responsiveness of the marketing system to consumer direction by improving market information and establishing consumer grades and standards.
- ✓ Structural policies designed to modify the behaviour of the middlemen so as to improve market performance through the establishment of co- operatives. Infrastructural policies necessary for the development of capital-intensive (roads, silos, irradiation facilities, warehouses, processing equipment, etc.), capital-extensive (post-harvest market extension services, agricultural research, etc.), and institutional (subsidies, tax dispensation, etc.) infrastructures. These policies are necessary if we are to improve the efficiency of our post-harvest storage system in sub-sahara Africa.

The need to refocus on food fortification is also very critical in order to improve on nutrient disproportions by climate change.

5.1 Conclusion

Legumes are very important food crops that supply good amounts of plant source protein to our meals. Postharvest losses are incurred if grain legumes are not properly handled, prepared, and

stored. Some of the notable postharvest handling practices adopted to preserve and extend the shelf life of legumes include drying, pest control, and storage.

Pest control in harvested grains legume can be achieved through emerging technologies like irradiation, radio frequency ionization, infra-red, and microwave technology. Pest management can also be done through the age-long chemical means of fumigation as well as controlled atmosphere technology as an alternative. The drying of grain legumes through the traditional means openly spreading in the sun yields poor drying results. Drying of grain legumes is better done through artificial means with hot air dryers or solar dryers of different sorts. Solar dryers have evolved greatly as a result of the need to reduce the level of greenhouse gases emitted by non-solar dryers, high fuel prices to run non-solar dryers, and the need for a renewable type of energy, unlike the non-solar dryers. Storage of grain legumes for bulk commercial purposes is done in silos and warehouses while hermetic bag storages are utilized for small-scale storage in other to achieve a fairly optimal storage condition for grain legumes.

References

- Agyeman, K., Asante, B. O., Berchie, J. N., Sarkodie-Addo, J., Marno, P., & Adabah, R. (2021). Farmers' perceptions, constraints and preferences for improved Bambara groundnut varieties in Ghana. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Research*, 3, 100097.
- Ali, E., & Awade, N. E. (2019). Credit constraints and soybean farmers' welfare in subsistence agriculture in Togo. *Heliyon*, 5(4), e01550.
- Almekinders, C. J., Ronner, E., & van Heerwaarden, J. (2020). Tracing legume seed diffusion beyond demonstration trials: An exploration of sharing mechanisms. *Outlook on Agriculture*, 49(1), 29–38.
- Anago, F. N., Agbangba, E. C., Oussou, B. T. C., Dagbenonbakin, G. D., & Amadji, L. G. (2021). Cultivation of cowpea challenges in West Africa for food security: Analysis of factors driving yield gap in Benin. *Agronomy*, 11(6), 1139.
- Australian Government Grains Research and Development Corporation – GRDC. (2018). Vetch Section 13 Storage: How to Store Vetch on-Farm, Aeration during Storage and Stored Grain Pests. *Grain Research and Development Corporation*.
- Ayenan, M. A. T., Sewade, P. L., & Agboton, S. M. (2018). Towards effective soybean seed systems in Benin: Current situation and prospects for production and delivery of good quality seed. *Journal of Crop Improvement*, 31(3), 379–399.
- Belayneh, D. B., & Chondie, Y. G. (2022). Participatory variety selection of groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) in Taricha Zuriya district of Dawuro Zone, southern Ghana. *Heliyon*, 8(3), e09011.
- Boni, S. B., Beed, F., Kimanya, M. E., Koyano, E., Mponda, O., Mamiro, D., Kaoneka, B., Bandyopadhyay, R., Korie, S., & Mahuku, G. (2021). Aflatoxin contamination in

- Tanzania: Quantifying the problem in maize and groundnuts from rural households. *World Myco Journal*, 14(4), 553–564.
- Branca, G., Cacchiarelli, L., Valentina, D. A., Dakishoni, L., Lupafya, E., Magalasi, M., Perelli, C. (2021). Cereal-legume value chain analysis: A case of smallholder production in selected areas of Malawi. *Agriculture*, 11(12), 1217.
- Dessalegn, B., Asnake, W., Tigabie, A., & Le, Q. B. (2022). Challenges to adoption of improved legume varieties: A gendered perspective. *Sustainability*, 14(4), 2150.
- Dijkink, B., Broeze, J., Axmann, H., & Vollebregt, M. (2019). Hermetic Bag for the Storage of Maize: Economic Perspective in Different Sub-Saharan African Countries. *Food Security and Greenhouse Gas Emissions Working Paper No. 291*, CGIAR Research Program on Climate Change, Agriculture and Food Security.
- Dutta, A., Trivedi, A., Nath, C. P., Gupta, D. S., & Hazra, K. K. (2022). A comprehensive review on grain legumes as climate-smart crops: Challenges and prospects. *Environmental Challenges*, 7, 100479.
- Eskola, M., Kos, G., Elliott, C. T., Hajšlová, J., Mayar, S., & Krska, R. (2020). Worldwide contamination of food-crops with mycotoxins: Validity of the widely cited “FAO estimate” of 25%. *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition*, 60(16), 1-17.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/10408398.2019.1658570>
- FAO. (2018). Grain crop drying, handling and storage. In *Rural Structures in the Tropics: Design and Development*. Iowa State University Extension and Outreach.
- Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO). (2019). *The State of food and agriculture 2019: Moving forward on food loss and waste reduction*. FAO.
- Haileyesus, D., & Mekuriaw, A. (2021). The adoption impact of wheat-chickpea double cropping on yield and farm income of smallholder farmers in Central Highlands of Ethiopia: The case of Becho district. *Heliyon*, 7(6), e07203.
- Hoffmann, M. P., Odhiambo, J. J. O., Koch, M., Ayisi, K. K., Zhao, G., Soler, A. S., & Rötter, R. P. (2018). Exploring adaptations of groundnut cropping to prevailing climate variability and extremes in Limpopo Province, South Africa. *Field Crops Research*, 219, 1–13.
- Konja, D. T., Mabe, F. N., & Oteng-Frimpong, R. (2019). Profitability and profit efficiency of certified groundnut seed and conventional groundnut production in Northern Ghana: A comparative analysis. *Cogent Economics & Finance*, 7(1), 1631525.
- Kumar, P., & Singh, D. (2020). Advanced technologies and performance investigations of solar dryers: A review. *Renewable Energy Focus*, 35, 148-158.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ref.2020.10.003>

- Kumar, S., & Pandey, G. (2020). Biofortification of pulses and legumes to enhance nutrition. *Heliyon*, 6(3), e03682.
- Larochelle, C., & Alwang, J. (2022). Impacts of improved bean varieties adoption on dietary diversity and food security in Rwanda. *European Journal of Development Research*, 34(2), 1144–1166.
- Madin, M. B., Nyantakyi-Frimpong, H., & Inkoom, D. K. B. (2022). Seed security among smallholder farmers in semi-arid Ghana. *Environmental Challenges*, 6, 100438.
- Mahama, A., Awuni, J. A., Mabe, F. N., & Azumah, S. B. (2020). Modelling adoption intensity of improved soybean production technologies in Ghana: A generalized Poisson approach. *Heliyon*, 6(3), e03543.
- Manda, J., Alene, A. D., Adane Hirpa, T., Feleke, S., Tahirou, A., Omoigui, L. O., & Manyong, V. (2020). Market participation, household food security, and income: The case of cowpea producers in northern Nigeria. *Food Energy Security*, 9(3), e211.
- Manda, J., Alene, A. D., Tufa, A. H., Abdoulaye, T., Wossen, T., Chikoye, D., & Manyong, V. (2019). The poverty impacts of improved cowpea varieties in Nigeria: A counterfactual analysis. *World Development*, 122, 261–271.
- Marimo, P., Otieno, G., Njuguna-Mungai, E., Vernooy, R., Halewood, M., Fadda, C., Mulumba, J. W., Desterio Ondieki, N., & Mollel, M. (2021). The role of gender and institutional dynamics in adapting seed systems to climate change: Case studies from Kenya, Tanzania, and Uganda. *Agriculture*, 11(9), 840.
- Marty, E., Etwire, P. M., & Mockshell, J. (2021). Climate-smart cowpea adoption and welfare effects of comprehensive agricultural training programs. *Technology in Society*, 64, 101468.
- Merga, J. T. (2020). Evaluation of common bean varieties (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) to different row-spacing in Jimma, South Western Ethiopia. *Heliyon*, 6(8), e04822.
- Mobolade, A. J., Bunindro, N., Sahoo, D., & Rajashekar, Y. (2019). Traditional methods of food grains preservation and storage in Nigeria and India. *Annals of Agricultural Sciences*, 64(2), 196–205. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aosas.2019.12.003>
- Mohapatra, D., Kumar, S., Kotwaliwale, N., & Singh, K. K. (2017). Critical factors responsible for fungi growth in stored food grains and non-chemical approaches for their control. *Industrial Crops and Products*, 108, 162–182. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2017.06.039>
- Mujuka, E., Mburu, J., Ogutu, A., & Ambuko, J. (2019). Returns to investment in postharvest loss reduction technologies among mango farmers in Embu County, Kenya. *Food Energy Security*, e195.

- Mutari, B., Sibiya, J., Bogweh Nchanji, E., Simango, K., & Gasura, E. (2021). Farmers' perceptions of Navy bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) production constraints, preferred traits and farming systems and their implications on bean breeding: A case study from South East Low veld region of Zimbabwe. *Journal of Ethnobiology and Ethnomedicine*, 17(1), 1–19.
- Mwalongo, S., Akpo, E., Lukurugu, G. A., Muricho, G., Vernooy, R., Athanas, M., Ojiewo, C., Njuguna, E., Otieno, G., & Varshney, R. (2020). Factors influencing preferences and adoption of improved groundnut varieties among farmers in Tanzania. *Agronomy*, 10(9), 1271.
- Nassary, E. K., Baijukya, F., & Ndakidemi, P. A. (2020). Intensification of common bean and maize production through rotations to improve food security for smallholder farmers. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Research*, 2, 100040.
- Nchanji, E. B., Lutomia, C. K., Chirwa, R., Templer, N., Rubyogo, J. C., & Onyango, P. (2021a). Immediate impacts of COVID-19 pandemic on bean value chain in selected countries in sub-Saharan Africa. *Agricultural Systems*, 188, 103034.
- Nchanji, E. B., Lutomia, C. K., Odhiambo Collins, A., Karanja, D., & Kamau, E. (2021b). Gender-responsive participatory variety selection in Kenya: Implications for common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) breeding in Kenya. *Sustainability*, 13(23), 13164.
- Ngalamu, T., Meseka, S., Galla, J. O., Tongun, N. J., Ochanda, N. W., & Ofori, K. (2020). Yield performance stability of adapted and improved cowpea in the Equatoria region of South Sudan. *Legume Research*, 43(2), 247–252.
- Nord, A., Bekunda, M., McCormack, C., & Snapp, S. (2021). Barriers to sustainable intensification: Overlooked disconnects between agricultural extension and farmer practice in maize-legume cropping systems in Tanzania. *International Journal of Agricultural Sustainability*.
- Nura, A., & Dandago, M. A. (2021). Postharvest losses in food grains. *Department of Food Science and Technology, Faculty of Agriculture and Agricultural Technology, Kano State University of Science and Technology, Wudil, Kano State, Nigeria*.
- Olanrewaju, O. S., Oyatomi, O., Babalola, O. O., & Abberton, M. (2021). Breeding potentials of bambara groundnut for food and nutrition security in the face of climate change. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 12, 798993.
- Olatunde, O. A., Peter, O. F., Oluseye, O. O., & Olatunji, A. R. (2021). Determinants of soybean farmers' adoption of green revolution technologies in Oyo State, Nigeria. *Journal of Development Areas*, 55(3), 365–376.

- Omotilewa, O. J., Ricker-Gilbert, J., & Ainembabazi, J. H. (2019). Subsidies for agricultural technology adoption: Evidence from a randomized experiment with improved grain storage bags in Uganda. *American Journal of Agricultural Economics*, 101, 753–772.
- Otieno, G., Zebrowski, W. M., Recha, J., & Reynolds, T. W. (2021). Gender and social seed networks for climate change adaptation: Evidence from bean, finger millet, and sorghum seed systems in East Africa. *Sustainability*, 13(4), 2074.
- Périnelle, A., Meynard, J.-M., & Scopel, E. (2021). Combining on-farm innovation tracking and participatory prototyping trials to develop legume-based cropping systems in West Africa. *Agricultural Systems*, 187, 102978.
- Ronner, E., Descheemaeker, K., Almekinders, C. J. M., Ebanyat, P., & Giller, K. E. (2018). Farmers' use and adaptation of improved climbing bean production practices in the highlands of Uganda. *Agricultural Ecosystems & Environment*, 261, 186–200.
- Rubyogo, J. C., Akpo, E., Omoigui, L., Pooran, G., Chaturvedi, S. K., Fikre, A., Haile, D., Hakeem, A., Monyo, E., Nkalubo, S., Fenta, B., Binagwa, P., Kilango, M., Williams, M., Mponda, O., Okello, D., Chichaybelu, M., Miningou, A., Bationo, J., Sako, D., Kouyate, Z., Diallo, S., Oteng-Frimpong, R., Yirzagla, J., Iorlamen, T., Garba, U., Mohammed, H., Ojiewo, C., Kamara, A., Varshney, R., Nigam, S. N., Janila, P., Nadaf, H. L., Kalemera, S., & Downes, A. (2019). Market-led options to scale up legume seeds in developing countries: Experiences from the Tropical Legumes project. *Plant Breeding*, 138(4), 474–486.
- Sauer, C. M., Mason, N. M., Maredia, M. K., & Mofya-Mukuka, R. (2018). Does adopting legume-based cropping practices improve the food security of small-scale farm households? Panel survey evidence from Zambia. *Food Security*, 10(6), 1463–1478.
- Shendge, S. N., Pawar, V. S., & Kale, P. R. (2021). Novel technique: Hermetic storage and its application. *The Pharma Innovation Journal*, 10(8), 451–456.
- Sidibe, A., Meldrum, G., Coulibaly, H., Padulosi, S., Traore, I., Diawara, G., Sangare, A. R., Mbosso, C. (2020). Revitalizing cultivation and strengthening the seed systems of fonio and Bambara groundnut in Mali through a community biodiversity management approach. *Plant Genetic Resources*, 18(2), 31–48.
- Silva, M. G. C., Silva, G. N., Sousa, A. H., Freitas, R. S., Silva, M. S. G., & Abreu, A. O. (2018). Hermetic storage as an alternative for controlling *Callosobruchus maculatus* (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) and preserving the quality of cowpeas. *Journal of Stored Products Research*, 78, 27–31. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jspr.2018.05.010>
- Sinare, B., Miningou, A., Nebié, B., Eleblu, J., Kwadwo, O., Traoré, A., Zagre, B., & Desmae, H. (2021). Participatory analysis of groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) cropping system and production constraints in Burkina Faso. *Journal of Ethnobiology and Ethnomedicine*, 17(1), 1–15.

- Snapp, S. S., Cox, C. M., & Peter, B. G. (2019). Multipurpose legumes for smallholders in sub-Saharan Africa: Identification of promising ‘scale-out’ options. *Global Food Security*, 23, 22–32.
- Stathers, T., & Mvumi, B. M. (2020). In E. M. Yahia (Ed.), *Preventing food losses and waste to achieve food security and sustainability* (pp. 27–46). Burleigh Dodds Science.
- Tabe-Ojong, M. P. Jr., Molua, E. L., Ngoh, S. B., & Beteck, S. E. (2021). Production, consumption and market diversification of grain legumes in the humid forest agro-ecology of Cameroon. *Sustainable Production and Consumption*, 27, 193–202.
- Tufa, A. H., Alene, A. D., Manda, J., Akinwale, M. G., Chikoye, D., Feleke, S., Wossen, T., & Manyong, V. (2019). The productivity and income effects of adoption of improved soybean varieties and agronomic practices in Malawi. *World Development*, 124, 104631.
- Varshney, R. K., Ojiewo, C., & Monyo, E. (2019). A decade of Tropical Legumes projects: Development and adoption of improved varieties, creation of market demand to benefit smallholder farmers, and empowerment of national programs in sub-Saharan Africa and South Asia. *Plant Breeding*, 138(4), 379–388.
- Verkaart, S., Munyua, B. G., Mausch, K., & Michler, J. D. (2017). Welfare impacts of improved chickpea adoption: A pathway for rural development in Ethiopia? *Food Policy*, 66, 50–61.