

Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) and Brand Loyalty of the Food and Beverage Firms

Emmanuel, Ethel Ifeyinwa. PhD

Department of Employment Relations/Human Resource Management, Faculty of Administration and Management, Rivers State University

***Abstract:** The study investigates the association between corporate social responsibility (CSR) and brand loyalty of food and beverage firms in Rivers State. This study utilized a cross-sectional survey design targeting community member in Rivers State, specifically within five local government areas in Rivers State. The accessible population comprised 5,324 individuals, including consumers, staff members, managers, executives, industry professionals, CSR experts, suppliers, partners, and academics. A sample size of 357 was determined using the Krejcie and Morgan (1970) table, and Bowley's (1964) formula was applied to distribute the structured questionnaires. The findings reveal a significant relationship between the dimension of CSR (sustainable sourcing, ethical labour practices, nutritional transparency and environmental stewardship) and brand loyalty. The study concludes that CSR relates with brand loyalty. The study recommends that the food and beverage firms should adopt and promote sustainable sourcing, fair labour practices, employee development, transparent labeling, educational campaigns, and green initiatives to enhance consumer trust and brand loyalty.*

***Keywords:** Brand loyalty, Corporate social responsibility, Environmental stewardship, Ethical labour practices, Nutritional transparency, Sustainable sourcing.*

INTRODUCTION

Brand loyalty is critical for the success of food and beverage firms, providing a stable revenue stream and ensuring long-term profitability. Beyond repeat purchases, it reflects the emotional and psychological bonds consumers form with a brand (Russell-Bennett & Parkinson, 2014; Bing et al., 2024). This loyalty leads to consistent revenue, lower marketing costs, higher profitability, and greater resilience against market fluctuations. Companies like Coca-Cola and Starbucks have leveraged loyal customer bases to maintain financial resilience and reduce marketing expenses (Bhaimiya, 2023; Conect POS, 2023; Pereira, 2024). Loyal customers not only provide a predictable source of income but also serve as organic marketing channels through word-of-mouth promotion, enhancing brand reputation and attracting new customers (Zhao et al., 2023; Digital Marketing Institute, 2024). A company can achieve good market share by offering unparalleled product/brand positioned on adequate price (Ikegwuru, 2022). It is therefore through providing first-rate and eminent brand and suitable price, that companies attract customer loyalty.

In times of economic downturns, loyal customers are less likely to switch to competitors, ensuring continued sales even in challenging markets. Brands like Whole Foods demonstrate that customers are often willing to offer a premium for preferred brands, resulting in higher profit margins

(Campbell, 2020; The Guardian, 2022). Brand loyalty also extends customer relationships, increasing customer lifetime value and providing valuable feedback for continuous innovation. This engagement enhances brand image and trust, contributing to long-term brand equity (Alkhawaldeh, 2016).

Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) has evolved from a corporate obligation to a strategic imperative, especially in the food and beverage industry. CSR initiatives addressing sustainable sourcing, fair labor practices, nutritional transparency, and environmental stewardship are crucial in shaping consumer perceptions and fostering brand loyalty (Dapi & Phiri, 2015). Consumers increasingly favor brands that align with their values and demonstrate genuine commitments to social and environmental causes. Effective CSR strategies can differentiate firms in a competitive market, enhancing brand reputation and engaging ethically-minded consumers (Boulstridge & Carrigan, 2021).

CSR not only enhances brand reputation but also contributes to long-term financial performance. Companies investing in sustainable practices often experience cost savings and operational efficiencies, outperforming their peers financially (Wang et al., 2023). However, there is a lack of empirical studies on the influence of CSR on brand loyalty in the food and beverage firms in Rivers State. This study aims to bridge that gap by exploring the relationship between CSR initiatives and consumer behavior, illustrating how these efforts enhance brand loyalty. Understanding this dynamic is essential for firms navigating modern consumer expectations and securing a loyal customer base in an era where ethical considerations are paramount.

STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

Food and beverage (F & B) firms frequently engage in corporate social responsibility (CSR) initiatives to enhance their brand image and foster customer loyalty. However, perceived inauthenticity in these efforts can lead to consumer scepticism, eroding trust and diminishing brand loyalty. When CSR activities seem like mere marketing tactics rather than genuine commitments, customers may react negatively, resulting in the loss of loyal customers (Becker-Olsen et al., 2006). Moreover, CSR initiatives that do not align with the brand's core values or the interests of its target audience can confuse consumers and weaken their emotional connection to the brand (Du, Bhattacharya, & Sen, 2007).

Ineffective communication also poses a significant challenge. When CSR efforts are poorly disseminated, their positive impact on brand loyalty is minimized. Food and beverage firms must ensure their CSR activities are well-publicized and resonate with their audience to reinforce their commitment to social responsibility (Pomeroy & Dolnicar, 2009). Additionally, short-term or sporadic CSR activities can be perceived as opportunistic rather than genuine, leading to scepticism and a lack of sustained loyalty (Mohr, Webb, & Harris, 2001). Inconsistency in CSR engagement often destroys trust and long-term loyalty.

Neglecting core business practices while focusing on CSR can also create problems. Issues such as declining product quality or poor customer service can negate any positive impact of CSR on brand loyalty. Consumers expect high standards in primary offerings alongside CSR efforts. Firms that highlight CSR initiatives but ignore critical internal issues, such as labour practices, risk facing backlash and damaging brand loyalty (Porter & Kramer, 2006). Striking a balance between CSR

activities and core business operations is vital for maintaining customer loyalty and trust in the brand.

AIM AND OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

The aim of the study is to examine the association between corporate social responsibility (CSR) and brand loyalty of the food and beverage firms in River state. The specific objectives are to:

1. Determine the relationship between sustainable sourcing and brand loyalty.
2. Examine the association between ethical labour practices and brand loyalty.
3. Investigate the link between nutritional transparency and brand loyalty.
4. To assess the relationship between environmental stewardship and brand loyalty.

RESEARCH QUESTIONS

1. What is the relationship between sustainable sourcing and brand loyalty?
2. How does ethical labour practices relate with brand loyalty?
3. What is the relationship between nutritional transparency and brand loyalty?
4. How does environmental stewardship relate with brand loyalty?

RESEARCH QUESTIONS

Ho₁: There is no significant relationship between sustainable sourcing and brand loyalty.

Ho₂: There is no significant relationship between ethical labour practices and brand loyalty.

Ho₃: There is no significant relationship between nutritional transparency and brand loyalty.

Ho₄: There is no significant relationship between environmental stewardship and brand loyalty.

STAKEHOLDER THEORY

Stakeholder theory, introduced by R. Edward Freeman, asserts that businesses should create value for all stakeholders, including customers, employees, suppliers, and the community, rather than focusing solely on shareholders. In the context of CSR, this theory suggests that F & B companies can enhance their reputation, build trust, and foster consumer loyalty by addressing the concerns of various stakeholders through socially responsible actions. By emphasizing ethical and sustainable practices, stakeholder theory highlights the importance of aligning company values with consumer expectations. For example, firms that prioritize sustainable sourcing, fair trade, and environmental protection can attract consumers who value these initiatives, thereby strengthening brand loyalty. Ultimately, applying stakeholder theory offers a strategic approach for food and beverage companies to use CSR as a tool to build and maintain customer loyalty and advocacy.

CORPORATE SOCIAL RESPONSIBILITY (CSR)

Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) refers to the voluntary commitment by businesses to operate ethically and contribute positively to society (Riano et al., 2020). In the F & B industry, CSR encompasses a wide range of initiatives, including sustainable sourcing, ethical labour practices, and community engagement. Companies that prioritize CSR often experience enhanced brand reputation, customer loyalty, and competitive advantage. Research shows that consumers are increasingly inclined to support brands that demonstrate a commitment to social and environmental responsibility, leading to higher levels of brand engagement and loyalty (Perrini, 2006.).

Moreover, CSR initiatives can drive long-term financial success for food and beverage firms. By investing in sustainable practices, reducing waste and sourcing ingredients responsibly, companies can realize cost savings and operational efficiencies. Businesses with robust CSR strategies tend to perform better financially, as they are better positioned to manage risks related to regulatory compliance and shifting consumer preferences (Coelho, et al., 2023). Thus, CSR not only improve corporate image but also contributes to a firm's overall sustainability and profitability.

Sustainable Sourcing

Sustainable sourcing involves selecting suppliers that adhere to ethical practices. Consumers increasingly demand transparency about the origins of their food, prompting many brands to adopt sustainable sourcing as a competitive differentiator (Harrison & Newholm, 2020). Implementing sustainable sourcing practices mitigate supply chain disruptions and enhance resilience against environmental challenges. As climate change and resource scarcity become more pressing, firms prioritizing sustainable sourcing are better equipped to navigate these challenges and ensure a stable supply of raw materials.

Tuck et al. (2021) highlights that businesses engaging in sustainable sourcing contribute to environmental conservation and improve their long-term viability and market competitiveness. Furthermore, sustainable sourcing fosters innovation and collaboration, leading to the adoption of technologies like precision agriculture, which optimizes resource use and minimizes waste. Rigorous monitoring and reporting mechanisms also promote transparency and accountability, building consumer trust and attracting investors who prioritize sustainability. Thus, sustainable sourcing is a strategic imperative that drives growth, resilience, and stakeholder value in the competitive food and beverage industry. Sustainable practices benefit everyone—from farmers to consumers—and contribute to a healthier planet.

Ethical Labour Practices

Ethical labour practices involve ensuring fair wages, safe working conditions, and the overall well-being of employees (Khatun, 2024). In the F& B industry, where labour-intensive processes are prevalent, companies must prioritize ethical labour practices for brand image good will and trust. Bhasin, (2021) shows suggest that consumers are increasingly concerned about the ethical implications of their food choices, leading them to favour brands that demonstrate a commitment to fair labour practices.

Moreover, ethical labour practices contribute to employee satisfaction and retention, ultimately impacting a firm's performance and reputation. Companies that prioritize fair treatment of workers are likely to experience higher levels of employee engagement and productivity, as satisfied employees are more motivated and committed to their work. A study by Bode et al. (2022) indicates that organizations that invest in ethical labour practices often see improved operational efficiency and reduced turnover rates. By fostering a culture of respect and fairness, food and beverage firms can enhance their brand loyalty and create a positive impact on their communities.

Nutritional Transparency

Nutritional transparency refers to the clear communication of a product's nutritional content and ingredients to consumers. This transparency is increasingly important as consumers become more health-conscious and seek information about what they are consuming. Studies (Klopčič, et al.,

2020; Beauchamp et al., 2021) have shown that consumers prefer brands that provide clear, accessible information about their products' nutritional value and sourcing. Companies that prioritize nutritional transparency can build trust with consumers and enhance their brand loyalty, as informed consumers often choose brands that align with their health values.

Furthermore, nutritional transparency can drive innovation. As consumer preferences shift towards healthier options, companies that embrace transparency are better positioned to adapt their product offerings to meet market demands. A report by Mohr et al., (2020) emphasizes that brands that actively communicate their nutritional commitments often see an increase in customer engagement and sales. By promoting nutritional transparency, firms not only fulfil consumer expectations but also contribute to public health and wellness.

Environmental Stewardship

Environmental stewardship involves responsible management of natural resources and a commitment to minimizing environmental impact (Wang, et al., 2013; Lambooy, 2011). Environmental stewardship is crucial as companies face increasing scrutiny regarding their sustainability practices. Consumers often support brands that demonstrate a commitment to environmental conservation, such as reducing greenhouse gas emissions, minimizing waste, and promoting biodiversity (González-Mahecha et al., 2021). Brands that prioritize environmental stewardship can strengthen their market position and enhance consumer loyalty.

Moreover, environmental stewardship is increasingly linked to regulatory compliance and risk management. As governments and organizations implement stricter environmental regulations, firms that adopt proactive sustainability practices can mitigate potential legal and financial risks. Lee et al. (2022) highlights that companies that engage in environmental stewardship not only contribute to ecological preservation but also improve their operational efficiencies and reduce costs in the long run and achieve a competitive advantage while fulfilling their corporate social responsibilities.

Brand Loyalty

Brand loyalty is the commitment of consumers to repurchase or consistently choose a particular brand over others (Khan, 2013; Rizwan, et al., 2014). Brand loyalty is critical as it directly impacts a company's profitability and market share. Loyal customers are more likely to make repeat purchases and recommend the brand to others, creating a cycle of positive word-of-mouth promotion (Aaker, 2021). Brands that prioritize building strong emotional connections with their consumers, such as by implementing CSR initiatives, often enjoy higher levels of brand loyalty. For instance, brands like Toms and Dove have successfully cultivated loyalty through their social impact campaigns, resonating with consumers who value purpose-driven brands.

Brand loyalty can enhance a company's resilience to market fluctuations and competitive pressures. Loyal customers are often less price-sensitive and more willing to support their preferred brands, even during economic downturns or price increases. Chaudhuri and Holbrook (2021) suggests that strong brand loyalty can lead to increased customer lifetime value and profitability, making it a vital asset for food and beverage firms. By investing in strategies that foster brand loyalty, companies can secure a stable customer base, ensuring long-term growth and sustainability.

EMPIRICAL REVIEW

Cuesta-Valino, et al., (2024). explores how CSR expectations shape fashion consumers' purchase intentions through brand engagement. It combines a quantitative study of 1,296 individuals using structural equation modelling and a qualitative analysis with fashion industry experts. The findings reveal that CSR significantly impacts purchase intentions, primarily through enhancing brand engagement. Consequently, fashion companies should build consumer trust in their sustainability efforts, such as by utilizing local suppliers.

Araújo et al., (2023) investigates the impact of corporate social responsibility (CSR) on brand image, equity, and consumer satisfaction. Utilizing a quantitative approach, the study employed an online questionnaire targeting consumers who purchased a product associated with CSR actions during the pandemic. Data analysis was conducted using Smart PLS and Structural Equation Modelling. The findings indicate that CSR initiatives positively influence consumer satisfaction indirectly through brand image and brand equity. Additionally, the study reveals that CSR initiatives enhance brand image and brand equity, although consumer satisfaction is not directly affected by CSR. Notably, the impact of CSR on brand image is more pronounced among men, while its effect on brand equity is stronger for consumers who make regular purchases

Cha and Bagozzi, (2016) explore how customer engagement in a company's CSR activities enhances the development of service brand loyalty. The proposed model was tested using structural equation analysis with data from 237 actual customers of branded coffee shops. The results reveal that CSR-brand fit enhances both personal and social brand identification, which subsequently boost consumers' service brand loyalty. Additionally, the findings show that personal identification exerts a greater influence on service brand loyalty than social identification. This stronger effect of personal identification is particularly evident when customers participate in the company's CSR activities.

Rivera, et al., (2019). examines the impact of CSR associations on brand loyalty, proposing a theoretical model that incorporates the mediating roles of brand awareness, brand attitude, and customer satisfaction in the relationship between CSR and loyalty, which is measured as a second-order reflective construct. To test the proposed model, the researchers utilized covariance structure analysis with EQS 6.1. Data were collected through an online survey involving 351 Spanish sportswear consumers. The findings illustrate that CSR associations have a direct, positive effect on brand loyalty, as well as an indirect effect by enhancing brand awareness and consumer satisfaction. Notably, the study reveals that brand attitude does not play a significant role in the influence of CSR on loyalty.

METHODOLOGY

This study employed a cross-sectional survey design for this study. The target population are the community members in Rivers State. The accessible population comprises 5324 communities' members, from 5 local government area in Port Harcourt. and this involves consumers, staff members, managers and executives, professionals with expertise in the food and beverage industry, experts who specialize in CSR and can provide insights on best practices and the effectiveness of CSR initiatives, suppliers and partners: and other key stakeholders who might have observations on how CSR activities influence brand reputation and loyalty and academics and researchers. Using krejcie and Morgan 1970 table, a sample size of 357 was determined. Bowley's (1964)

formula was utilised in the assignment of questionnaires. Data collection was carried out through a structured questionnaire that included both close-ended and multiple-choice questions.

The predictor variable (CSR) was measured with four variables, which are sustainable sourcing, ethical labour practices, nutritional transparency and environmental stewardship. 5 items were used to measure sustainable sourcing (e.g. "The organization ensures the sustainability of the raw materials it sources." ethical labour practices were measured with 5 items, (e.g. "The company guarantees ethical labour conditions in its supply chain."), nutritional transparency was measured with 5 items (e.g. "The business provides clear and accurate nutritional information to consumers.") and environmental stewardship was measured with 5 items (e.g. "The company minimizes its environmental impact and promotes sustainability."). They were all directly related to the criterion variable (brand loyalty) which was measured with. 5 items (e.g. "The customers consistently choose our brand over competitors."). And the response to the research items was measured on a 4-point Likert scale which ranges from 1 – 4. Where 1 = Strongly disagree, 2 = Disagree 3 = Agree and 4 = Strongly agree. The validity of the instrument in this study was ascertained using face and content validity and the Cronbach’s Alpha was used to ascertain the reliability. The study adopted the threshold of 0.7 for the Cronbach’s Alpha reliability. Spearman’s rank correlation coefficient was used for the analysis with the aid of SPSS 25.0

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Spearman ranks correlation coefficient with the aid of SPSS 25.0 was used in analysing the hypotheses. From the 357(100%) copies distributed, only 312 (87.4%) were retrieved and well filled., 10(2.8%) were discarded for being wrongly filled and 302(84.6%) constitute the valid questionnaire. The hypotheses were tested at a 95% confidence interval, with the decision rule as follows: Reject the null hypothesis if $P \leq 0.05$, Accept the null hypothesis if $P > 0.05$

Table 1: Sustainable Sourcing and Brand Loyalty Correlations

		Sustainable Sourcing	Brand Loyalty	
Spearman's rho	Sustainable Sourcing	Correlation Coefficient	1.000	
		Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	
		N	302	
	Brand Loyalty	Correlation Coefficient	.652**	1.000
		Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.
		N	302	302

** . Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

Source: SPSS Output, 2024

The analysis in Table 1 indicates a significant relationship ($p < 0.05$) between sustainable sourcing and brand loyalty. The correlation coefficient is 0.652 suggesting a strong positive relationship between sustainable sourcing and brand loyalty.

Table 2: Ethical Labour Practices and Brand Loyalty Correlations

			Ethical Labour Practices	Brand Loyalty
Spearman's rho	Ethical Labour Practices	Correlation Coefficient	1.000	.680**
		Sig. (2-tailed)	.	.000
		N	302	302
	Brand Loyalty	Correlation Coefficient	.680**	1.000
		Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.
		N	302	302

** . Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).
Source: SPSS Output, 2024

The analysis in Table 2 reveals a significant relationship ($p < 0.05$) between ethical labour practices and brand loyalty, with a correlation coefficient of 0.680, indicating a strong positive relationship.

Table 3: Nutritional Transparency and Brand Loyalty Correlations

			Nutritional Transparency	Brand Loyalty
Spearman's rho	Nutritional Transparency	Correlation Coefficient	1.000	.795**
		Sig. (2-tailed)	.	.000
		N	302	302
	Brand Loyalty	Correlation Coefficient	.795*	1.000
		Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.
		N	302	302

** . Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).
Source: SPSS Output, 2024

The analysis outcome in table 3 depicts a significant relationship ($p < 0.05$) between nutritional transparency and brand loyalty. The correlation coefficient is 0795, indicating a strong positive relationship between nutritional transparency and brand loyalty.

Table 4: Environmental Stewardship and Brand Loyalty Correlations

			Environmental Stewardship	Brand Loyalty
Spearman's rho	Environmental Stewardship	Correlation Coefficient	1.000	.675**
		Sig. (2-tailed)	.	.000
		N	302	302
	Brand Loyalty	Correlation Coefficient	.675**	1.000
		Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.
		N	302	302

** . Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).
Source: SPSS Output, 2024

The results show a significant relationship ($p < 0.05$) between environmental stewardship and brand loyalty, with a correlation coefficient of 0.675, suggesting a strong positive relationship between these variables.

Discussion of Findings

Sustainable Sourcing and Brand Loyalty

The analysis on sustainable sourcing and brand loyalty yielded correlation value of 0.652 with a p-value of 0.000, indicating a strong positive and significant relationship between the variables. This suggests that as sustainable sourcing efforts increase, so does brand loyalty. The coefficient of determination (R^2) value of 0.425 indicates that 42.5% of the variation in brand loyalty can be attributed to changes in sustainable sourcing practices. Therefore, companies that invest in and prioritize sustainable sourcing are likely to see a notable increase in brand loyalty from their customers. The result agrees with Tuck et al. (2021) that businesses engaging in sustainable sourcing contribute to environmental conservation and improve their long-term viability and market competitiveness it also aligns with the findings of Cuesta-Valiño, et al., (2024) that CSR significantly impacts purchase intentions, through enhancing brand engagement and build consumer trust in their sustainability efforts and utilizing local suppliers.

Ethical Labour Practices and Brand Loyalty

The analysis of ethical labour practices and brand loyalty revealed a correlation coefficient of 0.680 with a p-value of 0.000, indicating a strong, significant positive relationship. The R^2 value of 0.462 suggests that 46.2% of the variation in brand loyalty is explained by ethical labour practices. This means that improving ethical labour practices can significantly boost brand loyalty. As ethical practices improve, brand loyalty tends to increase, highlighting the importance of these practices in shaping consumer perceptions and loyalty. Companies should therefore prioritize ethical labour practices to enhance brand loyalty. This aligns with Bode et al., (2022) that organizations that invest in ethical labour practices often see improved operational efficiency and reduced turnover rates.

Nutritional Transparency and Brand Loyalty

The analysis of nutritional transparency and brand loyalty revealed a correlation coefficient of 0.795 with a p-value of 0.000, indicating a strong, significant positive relationship. The R^2 value of 0.632 suggests that 63.2% of the variation in brand loyalty is explained by changes in nutritional transparency. This implies that enhancing nutritional transparency can significantly boost brand loyalty, with a substantial portion of brand loyalty being influenced by how transparent a brand is about its nutritional information. These results align with (Klopčič, et al., 2020 and Beauchamp et al., 2021 that consumers prefer brands that provide clear, accessible information about their products' nutritional value and sourcing.

Environmental Stewardship and Brand Loyalty

The analysis of environmental stewardship and brand loyalty revealed a correlation of 0.675 with a p-value of 0.000, indicating a strong, significant positive relationship. The R^2 value of 0.456 suggests that 45.6% of the variation in brand loyalty can be explained by changes in environmental stewardship. This implies that enhancing environmental stewardship can significantly increase

brand loyalty, with a substantial portion of brand loyalty influenced by a brand's environmental practices. This result is consistent with the findings of González-Mahecha et al., (2021) that consumers support brands that demonstrate a commitment to environmental conservation, such as reducing greenhouse gas emissions, minimizing waste, and promoting biodiversity.

Conclusion

This study aimed to investigate the impact of corporate social responsibility (CSR) on brand loyalty among food and beverage firms in River State, focusing on four specific objectives: sustainable sourcing, ethical labour practices, nutritional transparency, and environmental stewardship. The analysis revealed a strong positive relationship between sustainable sourcing and brand loyalty. This indicates that consumers are more loyal to brands that engage in sustainable sourcing practices, reflecting the growing consumer preference for environmentally and socially responsible products.

The study also found a significant positive correlation between ethical labour practices and brand loyalty. This suggests that firms that treat their workers fairly and ethically can foster greater loyalty among consumers, highlighting the importance of ethical conduct in building brand trust and commitment. The investigation showed a strong positive link between nutritional transparency and brand loyalty. Consumers value transparency regarding the nutritional content of food and beverage products, and this transparency enhances their loyalty to brands that provide clear and honest information.

The assessment indicated a significant positive relationship between environmental stewardship and brand loyalty. Brands that demonstrate a commitment to environmental sustainability are likely to experience higher levels of consumer loyalty, emphasizing the role of environmental responsibility in influencing consumer behaviour. The study emphasises the importance of CSR in shaping brand loyalty among food and beverage firms in River State. Companies that prioritize sustainable sourcing, ethical labour practices, nutritional transparency, and environmental stewardship are more likely to cultivate strong, loyal customer bases. These findings suggest that integrating CSR into business strategies not only fulfils ethical obligations but also provides a competitive advantage in terms of consumer loyalty.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. The food and beverage firms should adopt sustainable sourcing practices such as sourcing raw materials from certified sustainable suppliers. and promote these practices through marketing campaigns to raise awareness among consumers about their commitment to sustainability.
2. Establish partnerships with local farmers who use sustainable farming methods to support the local economy and ensure a consistent supply of sustainably sourced ingredients, which can be a key selling point for brand loyalty.
3. Ensure that all workers are paid fair wages and work in safe conditions to build consumer trust and loyalty.
4. Invest in employee development programs that offer training and career advancement opportunities to improve employee satisfaction and retention as this reflects positively on the brand, attracting consumers who value ethical labour practices.

5. Ensure all product packaging includes clear and detailed nutritional information, as transparent labeling practices help consumers make informed choices, thereby increasing their trust and loyalty to the brand.
6. Conduct educational campaigns about the nutritional benefits of products to engage and inform consumers, thereby enhancing brand loyalty.
7. Adopt green initiatives such as reducing plastic use, minimizing carbon footprint, and promoting recycling programs and communicate these efforts through marketing channels to highlight the brand's commitment to environmental stewardship.
8. Invest in sustainable packaging solutions, such as biodegradable or recyclable materials and clearly communicate these efforts to consumers, who are increasingly concerned about environmental issues, thus fostering greater brand loyalty.

REFERENCES

- Aaker, D. A. (2021). *Building strong brands*. Simon and Schuster.
- Alkhaldeh, A. M., Salleh, S. M., & Halim, F. B. (2016). Brand equity and brand loyalty: New perspective. *International Review of Management and Marketing*, 6(4), 722-730.
- Araújo, J., Pereira, I. V., & Santos, J. D. (2023). The effect of corporate social responsibility on brand image and brand equity and its impact on consumer satisfaction. *Administrative Sciences*, 13(5), 118. <https://doi.org/10.3390/admsci13050118>
- Beauchamp, J., Tzeng, J., & Cummings, C. (2021). Consumer preferences for nutritional transparency in food products. *Journal of Consumer Affairs*, 55(1), 171-194. <https://doi.org/10.1111/joca.12322>
- Becker-Olsen, K. L., Cudmore, B. A., & Hill, R. P. (2006). The impact of perceived corporate social responsibility on consumer behavior. *Journal of Business Research*, 59(1), 46-53.
- Bhaimiya, S. (2023). Coca-Cola ranked as the best place to work for career growth in a new study of America's largest companies. *Business Insider*. <https://africa.businessinsider.com/careers/coca-cola-ranked-as-the-best-place-to-work->
- Bhasin, H. (2021). The importance of ethical labor practices in the food industry. *Marketing91*. Retrieved from <https://www.marketing91.com>
- Bing, Guo & Al Mughairi, Badar & Karim, Dr. Asif & Karim, Ajwaad. (2024). Study on Importance of Brand Loyalty for Customer Retention for Consumer Durable Products: New Era Viewpoint. *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences*. 14. 10.6007/IJARBS/v14-i1/20464.
- Bode, C., Furrer, O., & Luthje, C. (2022). The impact of ethical labor practices on employee engagement and retention in the food industry. *International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 33(5), 947-972. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09585192.2021.1935753>
- Boulstridge, E., & Carrigan, M. (2021). Do consumers really care about corporate social responsibility? *The Journal of Consumer Marketing*, 38(3), 221-230. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JCM-12-2020-4404>
- Campbell, J. (2020). *Why is Whole Foods so popular? (Tips from a 20-year veteran)*. <https://thegrocerystoreguy.com/why-is-whole-foods-so-popular/>

- Cha, M. K., Yi, Y., & Bagozzi, R. P. (2016). Effects of customer participation in corporate social responsibility (CSR) programs on the CSR-brand fit and brand loyalty. *Cornell Hospitality Quarterly*, 57(3), 235-249. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1938965515620679>
- Coelho, R., Jayantilal, S., & Ferreira, J. J. (2023). The impact of social responsibility on corporate financial performance: A systematic literature review. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 30(4), 1535-1560. <https://doi.org/10.1002/csr.2446>
- Conect POS (2023) Impressive Stats of Starbucks Loyalty Program in 2023. <https://www.connectpos.com/learn-from-starbucks-loyalty-program/>
- Cuesta-Valiño, P., Gutiérrez-Rodríguez, P., García-Henche, B., & Núñez-Barriopedro, E. (2024). The impact of corporate social responsibility on consumer brand engagement and purchase intention at fashion retailers. *Psychology & Marketing*, 41(3), 649-664. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/mar.21940>
- Dapi, B., & Phiri, M. A. (2015). The impact of corporate social responsibility on brand loyalty. *Journal of governance and regulation*, 4(4), 8-16. http://dx.doi.org/10.22495/jgr_v4_i1_p1
- Digital Marketing Institute (2024). A Guide to Word of Mouth Marketing. <https://digitalmarketinginstitute.com/blog/a-guide-to-word-of-mouth-marketing#>
- Du, S., Bhattacharya, C. B., & Sen, S. (2007). Reaping relational rewards from corporate social responsibility: The role of competitive positioning. *International Journal of Research in Marketing*, 24(3), 224-241.
- Freeman, R. E. (1984). *Strategic Management: A Stakeholder Approach*. Boston: Pitman.
- González-Mahecha, R. M., Aguirre, E. P., & Pardo, A. (2021). The role of environmental stewardship in consumer behavior: A study in the food sector. *Sustainability*, 13(5), 2847. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su13052847>
- Harrison, R., & Newholm, T. (2020). Consumer ethics: What it means to be a responsible consumer. *Business Ethics: A European Review*, 29(2), 190-203. <https://doi.org/10.1111/beer.12263>
- [Ikegwuru, M. \(2022\)](#). Brand image and supply chain performance of Automobile Marketing Firms in Rivers State of Nigeria. *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Analysis*, 05 (01), 87-94.
- Khan, M. T. (2013). Customers loyalty: Concept & definition (a review). *International Journal of Information, Business and Management*, 5(3), 168-191.
- Klopcic, M., Slokan, P., & Erjavec, K. (2020). Consumer preference for nutrition and health claims: A multi-methodological approach. *Food Quality and Preference*, 82, 103863.
- Kopp, C. M. (2023). Brand Loyalty: *What it is, and how to build it* <https://www.investopedia.com/terms/b/brand-loyalty.asp>
- Lambooy, T. (2011). Corporate social responsibility: sustainable water use. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 19(8), 852-866.

- Lee, K., Hs, Y., & Cheng, J. (2022). Environmental stewardship and its impact on corporate performance in the food industry. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 330, 129-144. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2021.129144>
- Lu, H., Liu, X., & Falkenberg, L. (2022). Investigating the impact of corporate social responsibility (CSR) on risk management practices. *Business & Society*, 61(2), 496-534. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0007650320928981>.
- Mazzetti G, Schaufeli WB (2022) The impact of engaging leadership on employee engagement and team effectiveness: A longitudinal, multi-level study on the mediating role of personal- and team resources. *PLoS ONE* 17(6): e0269433. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0269433>
- Mohr, L. A., MacDonald, M., & Cummings, C. (2020). The impact of nutritional transparency on consumer behaviour. *Journal of Consumer Marketing*, 37(5), 551-562. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JCM-06-2019-3157>
- Mohr, L. A., Webb, D. J., & Harris, K. E. (2001). Do consumers expect companies to be socially responsible? The impact of corporate social responsibility on buying behavior. *Journal of Consumer Affairs*, 35(1), 45-72.
- Pereira, D. (2024). *Starbucks Marketing Strategy* <https://www.connectpos.com/learn-from-starbucks-loyalty-program/>
- Perrini, Francesco. (2006). Corporate social responsibility: Doing the most good for your company and your cause. *Academy of Management Perspectives*, 20, 90-93. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amp.2006.20591016>
- Pomeroy, A., & Dolnicar, S. (2009). Assessing the prerequisite of successful CSR implementation: Are consumers aware of CSR initiatives? *Journal of Business Ethics*, 85(2), 285-301.
- Porter, M. E., & Kramer, M. R. (2006). Strategy and society: The link between competitive advantage and corporate social responsibility. *Harvard Business Review*, 84(12), 78-92.
- Riano, J. D., & Yakovleva, N. (2022). Corporate social responsibility. In *Responsible Consumption and Production* (pp. 106-117). Cham: Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-95726-5_26.
- Rivera, J. J., Bigne, E., & Curras-Perez, R. (2019). Effects of corporate social responsibility on consumer brand loyalty. *Revista Brasileira de Gestão de Negócios*, 21, 395-415. <https://doi.org/10.7819/rbgn.v21i3.4003>
- Rizwan, M., Javed, P. A., Aslam, J., Khan, R., & Bibi, H. (2014). The relationship of brand commitment, brand credibility, perceived quality, customer satisfaction and brand loyalty: An empirical study on Stylo shoes. *Journal of sociological research*, 5(1), 377-404.
- Russell-Bennett, R., & Parkinson, J. (2015). Loyalty (Brand loyalty). *Wiley Encyclopedia of Management*, 1-11. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/9781118785317.weom090154>
- The Guardian. (2022). Why Whole Foods' customers remain loyal despite price hikes. Retrieved from <https://www.theguardian.com>

- Tuck, J. M., Zhan, L., & Liu, Y. (2021). The role of sustainable sourcing in the food and beverage sector: Implications for risk management. *Journal of Business Research*, 129, 337-346. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2021.03.034>
- Wang, Y. F., Chen, S. P., Lee, Y. C., & Tsai, C. T. S. (2013). Developing green management standards for restaurants: An application of green supply chain management. *International journal of Hospitality management*, 34, 263-273.
- Wang, Y., Chen, Y., & Zhang, C. (2023). The impact of corporate social responsibility on financial performance in the food industry: Evidence from Chinese firms. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 283, 124-135. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.124135>
- Zhao, Siyu & Jiang, Zhou & Li, Yuanshu & Meng, Jiaoyang. (2023). How does word-of-mouth marketing affect customer loyalty? *Advances in Economics, Management and Political Sciences*. 10. 51-57. 10.54254/2754-1169/10/20230427.
- Jones, P., Comfort, D., & Hillier, D. (2007). Corporate social responsibility and the UK's top ten food retailers. *International Journal of Retail & Distribution Management*, 35(1), 57-73.